MS1b Statistical Machine Learning and Data Mining

Yee Whye Teh Department of Statistics Oxford

http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~teh/smldm.html

Course Information

Course webpage:

http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~teh/smldm.html

- Lecturer: Yee Whye Teh
- ► TA for Part C: Thibaut Lienant
- TA for MSc: Balaji Lakshminarayanan and Maria Lomeli
- Please subscribe to Google Group:

https://groups.google.com/forum/?hl=en-GB#!forum/smldm

Sign up for course using sign up sheets.

Course Structure

Lectures

- 1400-1500 Mondays in Math Institute L4.
- 1000-1100 Wednesdays in Math Institute L3.

Part C:

- 6 problem sheets.
- Classes: 1600-1700 Tuesdays (Weeks 3-8) in 1 SPR Seminar Room.
- Due Fridays week before classes at noon in 1 SPR.

MSc:

- 4 problem sheets.
- Classes: Tuesdays (Weeks 3, 5, 7, 9) in 2 SPR Seminar Room.
- ► Group A: 1400-1500, Group B: 1500-1600.
- Due Fridays week before classes at noon in 1 SPR.
- Practical: Week 5 and 7 (assessed) in 1 SPR Computing Lab.
- ► Group A: 1400-1600, Group B: 1600-1800.

Course Aims

- 1. Have ability to use the relevant R packages to analyse data, interpret results, and evaluate methods.
- 2. Have ability to identify and use appropriate methods and models for given data and task.
- 3. Understand the statistical theory framing machine learning and data mining.
- 4. Able to construct appropriate models and derive learning algorithms for given data and task.

What is Machine Learning?



sensory data

What is Machine Learning?



data

What is Machine Learning?



What is the Difference?

Traditional Problems in Applied Statistics

Well formulated question that we would like to answer. Expensive to gathering data and/or expensive to do computation. Create specially designed experiments to collect high quality data.

Current Situation

Information Revolution

- Improvements in computers and data storage devices.
- Powerful data capturing devices.
- Lots of data with potentially valuable information available.

What is the Difference?

Data characteristics

- Size
- Dimensionality
- Complexity
- Messy
- Secondary sources

Focus on generalization performance

- Prediction on new data
- Action in new circumstances
- Complex models needed for good generalization.

Computational considerations

Large scale and complex systems

Applications of Machine Learning

Pattern Recognition







- Sorting Cheques
- Reading License Plates
- Sorting Envelopes
- Eye/ Face/ Fingerprint Recognition

Applications of Machine Learning

Business applications

- Help companies intelligently find information
- Credit scoring
- Predict which products people are going to buy
- Recommender systems
- Autonomous trading
- Scientific applications
 - Predict cancer occurence/type and health of patients/personalized health
 - Make sense of complex physical, biological, ecological, sociological models

Further Readings, News and Applications

Links are clickable in pdf. More recent news posted on course webpage.

- Leo Breiman: Statistical Modeling: The Two Cultures
- ► NY Times: R
- NY Times: Career in Statistics
- NY Times: Data Mining in Walmart
- NY Times: Big Data's Impact In the World
- Economist: Data, Data Everywhere
- McKinsey: Big data: The Next Frontier for Competition
- NY Times: Scientists See Promise in Deep-Learning Programs
- New Yorker: Is "Deep Learning" a Revolution in Artificial Intelligence?

Types of Machine Learning

Unsupervised Learning

Uncover structure hidden in 'unlabelled' data.

- Given network of social interactions, find communities.
- Given shopping habits for people using loyalty cards: find groups of 'similar' shoppers.
- Given expression measurements of 1000s of genes for 1000s of patients, find groups of functionally similar genes.

Goal: Hypothesis generation, visualization.

Types of Machine Learning

Supervised Learning

A database of examples along with "labels" (task-specific).

- Given network of social interactions along with their browsing habits, predict what news might users find interesting.
- Given expression measurements of 1000s of genes for 1000s of patients along with an indicator of absence or presence of a specific cancer, predict if the cancer is present for a new patient.
- Given expression measurements of 1000s of genes for 1000s of patients along with survival length, predict survival time.

Goal: Prediction on new examples.

Types of Machine Learning

Semi-supervised Learning

A database of examples, only a small subset of which are labelled.

Multi-task Learning

A database of examples, each of which has multiple labels corresponding to different prediction tasks.

Reinforcement Learning

An agent acting in an environment, given rewards for performing appropriate actions, learns to maximize its reward.



Oxford-Warwick Centre for Doctoral Training in Statistics

- Programme aims to produce EuropeÕs future research leaders in statistical methodology and computational statistics for modern applications.
- 10 fully-funded (UK, EU) students a year (1 international).
- Website for prospective students.
- Deadline: January 24, 2014

Exploratory Data Analysis

Notation

- Data consists of p measurements (variables/attributes) on n examples (observations/cases)
- **X** is a $n \times p$ -matrix with $\mathbf{X}_{ij} :=$ the *j*-th measurement for the *i*-th example

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & \dots & x_{1j} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{2j} & \dots & x_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i1} & x_{i2} & \dots & x_{ij} & \dots & x_{ip} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{nj} & \dots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ Denote the *i*th data item by $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$. (This is transpose of *i*th row of **X**)
- Assume x_1, \ldots, x_n are independently and identically distributed samples of a random vector X over \mathbb{R}^p .

Crabs Data (n = 200, p = 5)

Campbell (1974) studied rock crabs of the genus *leptograpsus*. One species, *L. variegatus*, had been split into two new species, previously grouped by colour, orange and blue. Preserved specimens lose their colour, so it was hoped that morphological differences would enable museum material to be classified.

Data are available on 50 specimens of each sex of each species, collected on sight at Fremantle, Western Australia. Each specimen has measurements on:

- ▶ the width of the frontal lobe FL,
- ► the rear width RW,
- ► the length along the carapace midline CL,
- the maximum width CW of the carapace, and
- the body depth BD in mm.

in addition to colour (species) and sex.

Crabs Data I

```
## load package MASS containing the data
library(MASS)
## look at data
crabs
```

```
## assign predictor and class variables
Crabs <- crabs[,4:8]
Crabs.class <- factor(paste(crabs[,1],crabs[,2],sep=""))</pre>
```

```
## various plots
boxplot(Crabs)
hist(Crabs$FL,col='red',breaks=20,xname='Frontal Lobe Size (mm)')
hist(Crabs$RW,col='red',breaks=20,xname='Rear Width (mm)')
hist(Crabs$CL,col='red',breaks=20,xname='Carapace Length (mm)')
hist(Crabs$CW,col='red',breaks=20,xname='Carapace Width (mm)')
hist(Crabs$BD,col='red',breaks=20,xname='Body Depth (mm)')
plot(Crabs,col=unclass(Crabs.class))
parcoord(Crabs)
```

Crabs data

	sp	sex	index	FL	RW	CL	CW	BD
1	В	М	1	8.1	6.7	16.1	19.0	7.0
2	В	М	2	8.8	7.7	18.1	20.8	7.4
3	В	М	3	9.2	7.8	19.0	22.4	7.7
4	В	М	4	9.6	7.9	20.1	23.1	8.2
5	В	М	5	9.8	8.0	20.3	23.0	8.2
6	В	М	6	10.8	9.0	23.0	26.5	9.8
7	В	М	7	11.1	9.9	23.8	27.1	9.8
8	В	М	8	11.6	9.1	24.5	28.4	10.4
9	В	М	9	11.8	9.6	24.2	27.8	9.7
10	В	М	10	11.8	10.5	25.2	29.3	10.3
11	В	М	11	12.2	10.8	27.3	31.6	10.9
12	В	М	12	12.3	11.0	26.8	31.5	11.4
13	В	М	13	12.6	10.0	27.7	31.7	11.4
14	В	М	14	12.8	10.2	27.2	31.8	10.9
15	В	М	15	12.8	10.9	27.4	31.5	11.0
16	В	М	16	12.9	11.0	26.8	30.9	11.4
17	В	М	17	13.1	10.6	28.2	32.3	11.0
18	В	М	18	13.1	10.9	28.3	32.4	11.2
19	В	М	19	13.3	11.1	27.8	32.3	11.3
20	В	М	20	13.9	11.1	29.2	33.3	12.1

Univariate Boxplots



Univariate Histograms



Histogram of Carapace Wid

Histogram of Body Depth



45

Simple Pairwise Scatterplots



Parallel Coordinate Plots



Visualization and Dimensionality Reduction

These summary plots are helpful, but do not really help very much if the dimensionality of the data is high (a few dozen or thousands). Visualizing higher-dimensional problems:

- We are constrained to view data in 2 or 3 dimensions
- Look for 'interesting' projections of X into lower dimensions
- Hope that for large p, considering only k le p dimensions is just as informative.

Dimensionality reduction

- For each data item $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$, find a lower dimensional representation $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ with $k \ll p$.
- Preserve as much as possible the interesting statistical properties/relationships of data items.

Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- PCA considers interesting directions to be those with greatest variance.
- ► A *linear* dimensionality reduction technique:
- Finds an orthogonal basis v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p for the data space such that
 - The first principal component (PC) v₁ is the direction of greatest variance of data.
 - The second PC v_2 is the direction orthogonal to v_1 of greatest variance, etc.
 - The subspace spanned by the first k PCs represents the 'best' k-dimensional representation of the data.
 - The *k*-dimensional representation of x_i is:

$$z_i = V^{\top} x_i = \sum_{\ell=1}^k v_{\ell}^{\top} x_i$$

where $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times k}$.

For simplicity, we will assume from now on that our dataset is centred, i.e. we subtract the average \overline{x} from each x_i .

Principal Components Analysis (PCA)

- Our data set is an iid sample of a random vector $X = [X_1 \dots X_p]^\top$.
- ► For the 1st PC, we seek a derived variable of the form

$$Z_1 = v_{11}X_1 + v_{12}X_2 + \dots + v_{1p}X_p = v_1^{\top}X_p$$

where $v_1 = [v_{11}, \ldots, v_{1p}]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are chosen to maximise

 $\operatorname{Var}(Z_1)$.

To get a well defined problem, we fix

 $v_1^\top v_1 = 1.$

The 2nd PC is chosen to be orthogonal with the 1st and is computed in a similar way. It will have the largest variance in the remaining p - 1 dimensions, etc.

Principal Components Analysis (PCA)



Deriving the First Principal Component

• Maximise, subject to $v_1^\top v_1 = 1$:

$$\operatorname{Var}(Z_1) = \operatorname{Var}(v_1^{\top} X) = v_1^{\top} \operatorname{Cov}(X) v_1 \approx v_1^{\top} S v_1$$

where $S \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is the sample covariance matrix, i.e.

$$S = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) (x_i - \bar{x})^{\top} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^{\top} = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}.$$

Rewriting this as a constrained maximisation problem,

$$\mathcal{L}(v_1,\lambda_1) = v_1^{\top} S v_1 - \lambda_1 \left(v_1^{\top} v_1 - 1 \right).$$

The corresponding vector of partial derivatives yields

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(v_1,\lambda_1)}{\partial v_1} = 2Sv_1 - 2\lambda_1v_1.$$

- Setting this to zero reveals the eigenvector equation, i.e. v_1 must be an eigenvector of *S* and λ_1 the corresponding eigenvalue.
- Since $v_1^{\top} S v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1^{\top} v_1 = \lambda_1$, the 1st PC must be the eigenvector associated with the largest eigenvalue of *S*.

Deriving Subsequent Principal Components

Proceed as before but include the additional constraint that the 2nd PC must be orthogonal to the 1st PC:

$$\mathcal{L}\left(v_{2},\lambda_{2},\mu\right)=v_{2}^{\top}Sv_{2}-\lambda_{2}\left(v_{2}^{\top}v_{2}-1\right)-\mu\left(v_{1}^{\top}v_{2}\right).$$

- Solving this shows that v₂ must be the eigenvector of S associated with the 2nd largest eigenvalue, and so on
- ► The eigenvalue decomposition of *S* is given by

 $S = V \Lambda V^{ op}$

where Λ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues

 $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0$

and *V* is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix whose columns are the *p* eigenvectors of *S*, i.e. the principal components v_1, \ldots, v_p .

Properties of the Principal Components

► PCs are *uncorrelated*

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X^{\top}v_i, X^{\top}v_j) \approx v_i^{\top}Sv_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

► The *total sample variance* is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^p S_{ii} = \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_p,$$

so the proportion of total variance explained by the k^{th} PC is

$$\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \ldots + \lambda_p} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$$

- S is a real symmetric matrix, so eigenvectors (principal components) are orthogonal.
- Derived variables Z_1, \ldots, Z_p have variances $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$.

${\sf R} \ code$

This is what we have had before:

```
library(MASS)
Crabs <- crabs[,4:8]
Crabs.class <- factor(paste(crabs[,1],crabs[,2],sep=""))
plot(Crabs,col=unclass(Crabs.class))</pre>
```

Now perform PCA with function princomp. (Alternatively, solve for the PCs yourself using eigen or svd).

```
Crabs.pca <- princomp(Crabs,cor=FALSE)
plot(Crabs.pca)
pairs(predict(Crabs.pca),col=unclass(Crabs.class))</pre>
```

Original Crabs Data



PCA of Crabs Data



PC 2 vs PC 3



Comp.2

PCA on Face Images



PCA on European Genetic Variation



Comments on the use of PCA

- PCA commonly used to project data X onto the first k PCs giving the k-dimensional view of the data that best preserves the first two moments.
- Although PCs are uncorrelated, scatterplots sometimes reveal structures in the data other than linear correlation.
- PCA commonly used for lossy compression of high dimensional data.
- Emphasis on variance is where the weaknesses of PCA stem from:
 - The PCs depend heavily on the units measurement. Where the data matrix contains measurements of vastly differing orders of magnitude, the PC will be greatly biased in the direction of larger measurement. It is therefore recommended to calculate PCs from Corr(X) instead of Cov(X).
 - Robustness to outliers is also an issue. Variance is affected by outliers therefore so are PCs.

Eigenvalue Decomposition (EVD)

Eigenvalue decomposition plays a significant role in PCA. PCs are eigenvectors of $S = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ and PCA properties are derived from those of eigenvectors and eigenvalues.

- For any $p \times p$ symmetric matrix *S*, there exists *p* eigenvectors v_1, \ldots, v_p that are pairwise orthogonal and *p* associated eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ which satisfy the eigenvalue equation $Sv_i = \lambda_i v_i \ \forall i$.
- *S* can be written as $S = V \Lambda V^{\top}$ where
 - $V = [v_1, \ldots, v_p]$ is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix
 - $\Lambda = diag \{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p\}$
 - If *S* is a real-valued matrix, then the eigenvalues are real-valued as well, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall i$
- ► To compute the PCA of a dataset X, we can:
 - First estimate the covariance matrix using the sample covariance *S*.
 - Compute the EVD of S using the R command eigen.

Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Though the EVD does not always exist, the singular value decomposition is another matrix factorization technique that *always* exist, even for non-square matrices.

- X can be written as $X = UDV^{\top}$ where
 - U is an $n \times n$ matrix with orthogonal columns.
 - D is a n × p matrix with decreasing non-negative elements on the diagonal (the singular values) and zero off-diagonal elements.
 - V is a $p \times p$ matrix with orthogonal columns.
- SVD can be computed using very fast and numerically stable algorithms. The relevant R command is svd.

Some Properties of the SVD

- Let $\mathbf{X} = UDV^{\top}$ be the SVD of the $n \times p$ data matrix \mathbf{X} .
- Note that

 $(n-1)S = \mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} = (UDV^{\top})^{\top}(UDV^{\top}) = VD^{\top}U^{\top}UDV^{\top} = VD^{\top}DV^{\top},$

using orthogonality $(U^{\top}U = I_n)$ of U.

- ► The eigenvalues of *S* are thus the diagonal entries of $\frac{1}{n-1}D^2$ and the columns of the orthogonal matrix *V* are the eigenvectors of *S*.
- We also have

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\top} = (UDV^{\top})(UDV^{\top})^{\top} = UDV^{\top}VD^{\top}U^{\top} = UDD^{\top}U^{\top},$$

using orthogonality $(V^{\top}V = I_p)$ of V.

SVD also gives the optimal low-rank approximations of X:

 $\min_{\tilde{X}} \|\tilde{X} - \mathbf{X}\|^2 \qquad \text{s.t. } \tilde{X} \text{ has maximum rank } r < n, p.$

This problem can be solved by keeping only the r largest singular values of X, zeroing out the smaller singular values in the SVD.

- ► PCA plots show the data items (as rows of **X**) in the PC space.
- Biplots allow us to visualize the original variables (as columns X) in the same plot.
- As for PCA, we would like the geometry of the plot to preserve as much of the covariance structure as possible.

Recall that $X = [X_1, \ldots, X_p]^\top$ and $\mathbf{X} = UDV^\top$ is the SVD of the data matrix.

• The PC projection of x_i is:

$$z_i = V^{\top} x_i = DU_i^{\top} = [D_{11}U_{i1}, \dots, D_{kk}U_{ik}]^{\top}.$$

- ► The *j*th unit vector $\mathbf{e}_j \in \mathbb{R}^p$ points in the direction of X_j . Its PC projection is $V_j^{\top} = V^{\top} \mathbf{e}_j$, the *j*th row of *V*.
- The projection of the variable indicates the weighting each PC gives to the original variables.
- Dot products between the projections gives entries of the data matrix:

$$x_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} U_{ik} D_{kk} V_{jk} = \langle DU_i^{\top}, V_j^{\top} \rangle.$$

- Distance of projected points from projected variables gives original location.
- These relationships can be plotted in 2D by focussing on first two PCs.



► There are other projections we can consider for biplots:

$$x_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} U_{ik} D_{kk} V_{jk} = \langle DU_i^{\top}, V_j^{\top} \rangle = \langle D^{1-\alpha} U_i^{\top}, D^{\alpha} V_j^{\top} \rangle.$$

where $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. The $\alpha = 1$ case has some nice properties.

Covariance of the projected points is:

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}U_{i}^{\top}U_{i}=\frac{1}{n-1}I.$$

Projected points are uncorrelated and dimensions are equi-variance.

• The covariance between X_i and X_ℓ is:

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_j X_\ell) = \frac{1}{n-1} \langle D V_j^\top, D V_\ell^\top \rangle$$

So the angle between the projected variables gives the correlation.

When using k explained by the PCs.



pc <- princomp(x)
biplot(pc,scale=0)
biplot(pc,scale=1)</pre>

Iris Data

50 sample from 3 species of iris: *iris setosa*, *versicolor*, and *virginica*

Each measuring the length and widths of both sepal and petals

Collected by E. Anderson (1935) and analysed by R.A. Fisher (1936)

Using again function princomp and biplot.

```
iris1 <- iris
iris1 <- iris1[,-5]
biplot(princomp(iris1,cor=T))</pre>
```



Iris Data



This data set contains statistics, in arrests per 100,000 residents for assault, murder, and rape in each of the 50 US states in 1973. Also given is the percent of the population living in urban areas.

```
pairs(USArrests)
usarrests.pca <- princomp(USArrests,cor=T)
plot(usarrests.pca)</pre>
```

pairs(predict(usarrests.pca))
biplot(usarrests.pca)

US Arrests Data Pairs Plot



US Arrests Data Biplot



Comp.1

Multidimensional Scaling

Suppose there are *n* points **X** in \mathbb{R}^p , but we are only given the $n \times n$ matrix **D** of inter-point distances.

Can we reconstruct X?



Multidimensional Scaling

Rigid transformations (translations, rotations and reflections) do not change inter-point distances so cannot recover \mathbf{X} exactly. However \mathbf{X} can be recovered up to these transformations!

• Let $d_{ij} = ||x_i - x_j||_2$ be the distance between points x_i and x_j .

$$d_{ij}^{2} = ||x_{i} - x_{j}||_{2}^{2}$$

= $(x_{i} - x_{j})^{\top} (x_{i} - x_{j})$
= $x_{i}^{\top} x_{i} + x_{j}^{\top} x_{j} - 2x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}$

- ► Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\top}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix of dot-products, $b_{ij} = x_i^{\top} x_j$. The above shows that **D** can be computed from **B**.
- Some algebraic exercise shows that B can be recovered from D if we assume ∑ⁿ_{i=1} x_i = 0.

Multidimensional Scaling

- ▶ If we knew X, then SVD gives $X = UDV^{\top}$. As X has rank $k = \min(n, p)$, we have at most *k* singular values in *D* and we can assume $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times p}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$.
- ► The eigendecomposition of **B** is then:

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\top} = UDD^{\top}U^{\top} = U\Lambda U^{\top}.$$

- ► This eigendecomposition can be obtained from **B** without knowledge of **X**!
- Let $\tilde{x}_i^{\top} = U_i \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ be the *i*th row of $U \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Pad \tilde{x}_i with 0s so that it has length *p*.

$$\tilde{x}_i^{\top} \tilde{x}_j = U_i \Lambda U_j^{\top} = b_{ij} = x_i^{\top} x_j$$

and we have found a set of vectors with dot-products given by **B**.

The vectors \tilde{x}_i differs from x_i only via the orthogonal matrix V so are equivalent up to rotation and reflections.

US City Flight Distances

We present a table of flying mileages between 10 American cities, distances calculated from our 2-dimensional world. Using *D* as the starting point, metric MDS finds a configuration with the same distance matrix.

ATLA	CHIG	DENV	HOUS	LA	MIAM	NY	SF	SEAT	DC
0	587	1212	701	1936	604	748	2139	2182	543
587	0	920	940	1745	1188	713	1858	1737	597
1212	920	0	879	831	1726	1631	949	1021	1494
701	940	879	0	1374	968	1420	1645	1891	1220
1936	1745	831	1374	0	2339	2451	347	959	2300
604	1188	1726	968	2339	0	1092	2594	2734	923
748	713	1631	1420	2451	1092	0	2571	2408	205
2139	1858	949	1645	347	2594	2571	0	678	2442
2182	1737	1021	1891	959	2734	2408	678	0	2329
543	597	1494	1220	2300	923	205	2442	2329	0

US City Flight Distances

library(MASS)

use classical MDS to find lower dimensional views of the data
recover X in 2 dimensions

us.classical <- cmdscale(d=us,k=2)</pre>

```
plot(us.classical)
text(us.classical,labels=names(us))
```

US City Flight Distances



Lower-dimensional Reconstructions

In classical MDS derivation, we used all eigenvalues in the eigendecomposition of **B** to reconstruct

 $\tilde{x}_i = U_i \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}.$

We can use only the largest $k < \min(n, p)$ eigenvalues and eigenvectors in the reconstruction, giving the 'best' *k*-dimensional view of the data.

This is analogous to PCA, where only the largest eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$ are used, and the smallest ones effectively suppressed.

Indeed, PCA and classical MDS are duals and yield effectively the same result.

Crabs Data

```
library(MASS)
Crabs <- crabs[,4:8]
Crabs.class <- factor(paste(crabs[,1],crabs[,2],sep=""))</pre>
```

```
crabsmds <- cmdscale(d= dist(Crabs),k=2)
plot(crabsmds, pch=20, cex=2,col=unclass(Crabs.class))</pre>
```



Crabs Data

Compare with previous PCA analysis. Classical MDS solution corresponds to the first 2 PCs.



Example: Language data

Presence or absence of 2867 homologous traits in 87 Indo-European languages.

> X[1:15,1:16]

	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5	V6	V7	V8	V9	V10	V11	V12	V13
Irish_A	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Irish_B	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Welsh_N	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Welsh_C	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breton_List	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breton_SE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Breton_ST	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Romanian_List	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vlach	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Italian	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ladin	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Provencal	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
French	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Walloon	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<pre>French_Creole_C</pre>	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Example: Language data

Using MDS with non-metric scaling.



Varieties of MDS

Generally, MDS is a class of dimensionality reduction techniques which represents data points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$ in a lower-dimensional space $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ which tries to preserve inter-point (dis)similarities.

It requires only the matrix D of pairwise dissimilarities

$d_{ij}=d(x_i,d_j).$

For example we can use Euclidean distance $d_{ij} = ||x_i - x_j||_2$. Other dissimilarities are possible. Conversely, it can use a matrix of similarities.

▶ MDS finds representations $z_1, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that

$$d(x_i, x_j) \approx \tilde{d}_{ij} = \tilde{d}(z_i, z_j),$$

where \tilde{d} represents dissimilarity in the reduced *k*-dimensional space, and differences in dissimilarities are measured by a *stress function* $S(d_{ij}, \tilde{d}_{ij})$.

Varieties of MDS

Choices of (dis)similarities and stress functions lead to different objective functions and different algorithms.

Classical - preserves similarities instead

$$S(\mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{i \neq j} (s_{ij} - \langle z_i - \overline{z}, z_j - \overline{z} \rangle)^2$$

Metric Shepard-Kruskal

$$S(\mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{i \neq j} (d_{ij} - ||z_i - z_j||_2)^2$$

Sammon - preserves shorter distances more

$$S(\mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{(d_{ij} - ||z_i - z_j||_2)^2}{d_{ij}}$$

Non-Metric Shepard-Kruskal - ignores actual distance values, only ranks

$$S(\mathbf{Z}) = \min_{g \text{ increasing}} \sum_{i \neq j} (g(d_{ij}) - ||z_i - z_j||_2)^2$$

Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction

Two aims of different varieties of MDS:

- To visualize the (dis)similarities among items in a dataset, where these (dis)disimilarities may not have Euclidean geometric interpretations.
- ► To perform *nonlinear* dimensionality reduction.

Many high-dimensional datasets exhibit low-dimensional structure ("live on a low-dimensional menifold").



Isomap

Isomap is a non-linear dimensional reduction technique based on classical MDS. Differs from other MDSs in its estimate of distances d_{ij} .

- 1. Calculate distances d_{ij} for i, j = 1, ..., n between all data points, using the Euclidean distance.
- 2. Form a graph *G* with the *n* samples as nodes, and edges between the respective *K* nearest neighbours.
- 3. Replace distances d_{ij} by shortest-path distance on graph d_{ij}^G and perform classical MDS, using these distances.



Examples from Tenenbaum et al. (2000).









Faces

=

Α $\overline{\mathbf{O}}$ ٢ \bigcirc Up-down pose • •. 1 **)** . \odot . • Lull, • Left-right pose Lighting direction **F**

え

 \odot

-

Other Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction Techniques

- Locally Linear Embedding.
- Laplacian Eigenmaps.
- Maximum Variance Unfolding.