1. For two discrete variables I and J with joint distribution  $p_{ij}$ , the odds-ratio  $\theta_{ii*jj}$  is defined as

$$\theta_{ii^*jj^*} = \frac{p_{i|j}/p_{i^*|j}}{p_{i|j^*}/p_{i^*|j^*}} = \frac{p_{ij}p_{i^*j^*}}{p_{i^*j}p_{ij^*}}.$$

Show that  $I \perp J \iff \theta_{ii^*jj^*} \equiv 1$ .

Strictly speaking, this is only true if  $p_{ij} > 0$  as otherwise  $\theta$  may not be welldefined and some care needs to be taken (defining 0/0 = 1 for example).

If  $I \perp J$  we have  $p(i \mid j) = p(i \mid j^*)$  and the result follows. If  $\theta_{ii^*jj^*} \equiv 1$  and we choose a fixed  $i^*j^*$  with  $p_{i^*j^*} > 0$ , we have

$$p_{ij} = p_{i^*j} p_{ij^*} / p_{i^*j^*}$$

and we have factorized p.

2. For three discrete variables I, J and K with joint distribution  $p_{ijk}$  the conditional odds-ratio  $\theta_{ij|k}$  is similarly

$$\theta_{ii^*jj^* \mid k} = \frac{p_i \mid jk/p_{i^* \mid jk}}{p_i \mid j^*k/p_{i^* \mid j^*k}} = \frac{p_{ijk}p_{i^*j^*k}}{p_{i^*jk}p_{ij^*k}}.$$

Show that p belongs to the log-linear model with generating class  $\{I, J\}, \{J, K\}\{I, K\}$  if and only if the conditional odds-ratio is constant in k. For simplicity, you may assume  $p_{ijk} > 0$  for all i, j, k.

If  $p_{ijk} = a_{ij}b_{jk}c_{ik}$  we have

$$\theta_{ii^*jj^*|k} = \frac{a_{ij}b_{jk}c_{ik}a_{i^*j^*}b_{j^*k}c_{i^*k}}{a_{i^*j}b_{jk}c_{i^*k}a_{ij^*}b_{j^*k}c_{ik}} = \frac{a_{ij}a_{i^*j^*}}{a_{i^*j}a_{ij^*}}$$

Conversely, if the conditional odds-ratio is independent of k we can fix  $i^*$ ,  $j^*$ , and some  $k^*$  and write

$$p_{ijk} = \theta_{ii^*jj^*|k^*} (p_{i^*jk}/p_{i^*j^*k}) p_{ij^*k} = \tilde{a}_{ij} b_{jk} \tilde{c}_{ik}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \theta_{ii^*jj^* \mid k^*}, \quad b_{jk} = p_{i^*jk}/p_{i^*j^*k}, \quad \tilde{c}_{ik} = p_{ij^*k}$$

- 3. Draw the following graphs, identify their prime components, and verify whether they are chordal or not.
  - (a)  $E = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{d, e\}, \{c, f\}, \{e, f\}\}.$



The prime components are  $\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}$ , and  $\{c, d, e, f\}$ . This graph is not chordal as  $\{c, d, e, f\}$  is not a clique.

(b)  $E = \{\{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{d, a\}, \{a, e\}, \{b, e\}, \{c, e\}, \{d, e\}\}.$ 



This graph is prime. It is not chordal because it is not a clique. Also, for example (a, b, c, d) form a 4-cycle without chord.

 $(c) \ E=\{\{a,b\},\{b,c\},\{c,d\},\{a,e\},\{b,e\},\{c,e\},\{d,e\}\}.$ 



This graph is chordal. Its prime components are the cliques  $\{a, b, e\}$ ,  $\{b, c, e\}$ ,  $\{c, d, e\}$ .

- 4. Consider the generating class  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{A, E, F\}, \{D, E\}, \{B, G, F\}, \{A, H, F\}, \{C, D\}\}$ .
  - (a) Find the dependence graph  $G(\mathcal{A})$ ; is  $\mathcal{A}$  conformal? The dependence graph is



The generating class is conformal because it is exactly the cliques of the dependence graph.

(b) Find a perfect numbering of the vertices with F = 1 using maximum cardinality search;

An MCS-ordering could for example be:

- (c) Argue that  $G(\mathcal{A})$  is chordal and  $\mathcal{A}$  is decomposable;  $G(\mathcal{A})$  is chordal because the above numbering is perfect.  $\mathcal{A}$  is decomposable because  $\mathcal{A}$  is conformal with a chordal dependence graph.
- (d) Arrange the cliques of the dependence graph  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$  in a junction tree and identify the separators and their multiplicities.

For example

$$BGF \sim AHF \sim AEF \sim ED \sim CD$$

but also the tree with

$$BGF \sim AEF \sim ED \sim CD, \quad AEF \sim AHF.$$

The separators are the same for all valid junction trees, so in both cases: D, E, F, AF. All separators have multiplicity one.

(e) Using the notation  $n_{abcdefg}$  etc. for the cell counts of a contingency table corresponding to these variables, write an expression fof the MLE of the cell probabilities  $p_{abcdefg}$ .

$$n\hat{p}_{abcdefg} = \frac{n_{aef}n_{ahf}n_{bgf}n_{cd}n_{de}}{n_dn_en_fn_{af}}.$$

5. The regular multivariate Gaussian distribution over  $\mathcal{R}^{|V|}$  has density

$$f(x \mid \xi, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-|V|/2} (\det K)^{1/2} e^{-(x-\xi)^{\top} K(x-\xi)/2},$$

where  $K = \Sigma^{-1}$  is called the *concentration* of the distribution. If X is multivariate Gaussian, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \xi, \quad \operatorname{Cov}(X) = \Sigma$$

so  $\xi$  is the *mean* and  $\Sigma$  the *covariance* of X. We then write  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{|V|}(\xi, \Sigma)$ . Let X be multivariate normal  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_{|V|}(0, \Sigma)$  and let  $K = \Sigma^{-1}$ . Show that the dependence graph G(K) for X is given by

$$\alpha \not\sim \beta \iff k_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

Rewriting the Gaussian density we get

$$\log f(x) = \text{constant} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in V} k_{\alpha \alpha} x_{\alpha}^2 - \sum_{\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E} k_{\alpha \beta} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}.$$

which yields

$$\alpha \perp\!\!\!\perp \beta \mid V \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\} \iff k_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

6. Consider  $X \sim \mathcal{N}_3(0, \Sigma)$ . Show that  $X_1 \perp \!\!\!\perp X_2 \mid X_3$  if and only if

$$\rho_{12} = \rho_{13}\rho_{23},$$

where  $\rho_{ij}$  denotes the correlation between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ .

Without loss of generality we can assume  $\sigma_{ii} = 1$  for all *i* so that

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_{12} & \rho_{13} \\ \rho_{12} & 1 & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{13} & \rho_{23} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the cofactor formula we get that

$$k_{12} = \frac{-\det \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{12} & \rho_{23} \\ \rho_{13} & 1 \end{pmatrix}}{\det \Sigma} = \frac{\rho_{13}\rho_{23} - \rho_{12}}{\det \Sigma}.$$

Since  $X_1 \perp \!\!\perp X_2 \mid X_3 \iff k_{12} = 0$ , the result follows.

Steffen L. Lauritzen, University of Oxford

October 31, 2011