

Conditional independence and Markov properties for undirected graphs

Aarhus University, Fall 2003, Lecture 1

Steffen L. Lauritzen, Aalborg University

Conditional independence

Random variables X and Y are *conditionally independent* given the random variable Z if

$$\mathcal{L}(X | Y, Z) = \mathcal{L}(X | Z).$$

We then write $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y | Z$ (or $X \perp\!\!\!\perp_P Y | Z$)

Intuitively:

Knowing Z renders Y *irrelevant* for predicting X .

Factorisation of densities:

$$\begin{aligned} X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y | Z &\iff f(x, y, z)f(z) = f(x, z)f(y, z) \\ &\iff \exists a, b : f(x, y, z) = a(x, z)b(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Fundamental properties

For random variables X, Y, Z , and W it holds

(C1) if $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid Z$ then $Y \perp\!\!\!\perp X \mid Z$;

(C2) if $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid Z$ and $U = g(Y)$, then $X \perp\!\!\!\perp U \mid Z$;

(C3) if $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid Z$ and $U = g(Y)$, then $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid (Z, U)$;

(C4) if $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid Z$ and $X \perp\!\!\!\perp W \mid (Y, Z)$, then
 $X \perp\!\!\!\perp (Y, W) \mid Z$;

If density w.r.t. product measure $f(x, y, z, w) > 0$ also

(C5) if $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Y \mid (Z, W)$ and $X \perp\!\!\!\perp Z \mid (Y, W)$ then
 $X \perp\!\!\!\perp (Y, Z) \mid W$.

Additional note on (C5)

$f(x, y, z, w) > 0$ is *not necessary* for (C5). Enough e.g. that $f(y, z, w) > 0$ or $f(x, z, w) > 0$; see proof in Lauritzen (1996).

In discrete and finite case it is even enough that for all w with $f(w) > 0$ the bipartite graphs $\mathcal{G}_w = (\mathcal{Y} \cup \mathcal{Z}, E_w)$ defined by

$$y \sim_w z \iff f(y, z, w) > 0,$$

are all connected.

Alternatively with X replacing Y .

Is there a simple necessary and sufficient condition?

Graphoid axioms

Ternary relation \perp_σ is *graphoid* if for all disjoint subsets A , B , C , and D of V :

(S1) if $A \perp_\sigma B | C$ then $B \perp_\sigma A | C$;

(S2) if $A \perp_\sigma B | C$ and $D \subseteq B$, then $A \perp_\sigma D | C$;

(S3) if $A \perp_\sigma B | C$ and $D \subseteq B$, then $A \perp_\sigma B | (C \cup D)$;

(S4) if $A \perp_\sigma B | C$ and $A \perp_\sigma D | (B \cup C)$, then
 $A \perp_\sigma (B \cup D) | C$;

(S5) if $A \perp_\sigma B | (C \cup D)$ and $A \perp_\sigma C | (B \cup D)$ then
 $A \perp_\sigma (B \cup C) | D$.

Semigraphoid if only (S1)–(S4) holds.

Separation in undirected graphs

Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ be finite and simple undirected graph (no self-loops, no multiple edges).

For subsets A, B, S of V , let $A \perp_{\mathcal{G}} B \mid S$ denote that S separates A from B in \mathcal{G} , i.e. that all paths from A to B intersect S .

Fact: *The relation $\perp_{\mathcal{G}}$ on subsets of V is a graphoid.*

This fact is the reason for choosing the name 'graphoid' for such separation relations.

Probabilistic semigraphoids

V finite set, $X = (X_v, v \in V)$ random variables.

For $A \subseteq V$, let $X_A = (X_v, v \in A)$.

Let \mathcal{X}_v denote state space of X_v .

Similarly $x_A = (x_v, v \in A) \in \mathcal{X}_A = \times_{v \in A} \mathcal{X}_v$.

Abbreviate: $A \perp\!\!\!\perp B \mid S \iff X_A \perp\!\!\!\perp X_B \mid X_S$.

Then basic properties of conditional independence imply:

The relation $\perp\!\!\!\perp$ on subsets of V is a semigraphoid.

If $f(x) > 0$ for all x , $\perp\!\!\!\perp$ is also a graphoid.

Not all semigraphoids are probabilistically representable.

Markov properties for semigraphoids

$\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ simple undirected graph; \perp_σ (semi)graphoid relation. Say \perp_σ satisfies

(P) *the pairwise Markov property* if

$$\alpha \not\sim \beta \implies \alpha \perp_\sigma \beta \mid V \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\};$$

(L) *the local Markov property* if

$$\forall \alpha \in V : \alpha \perp_\sigma V \setminus \text{cl}(\alpha) \mid \text{bd}(\alpha);$$

(G) *the global Markov property* if

$$A \perp_{\mathcal{G}} B \mid S \implies A \perp_\sigma B \mid S.$$

Structural relations among Markov properties

For any semigraphoid it holds that

$$(G) \implies (L) \implies (P)$$

If \perp_σ satisfies graphoid axioms it further holds that

$$(P) \implies (G)$$

so that in the graphoid case

$$(G) \iff (L) \iff (P).$$

The latter holds in particular for $\perp\!\!\!\perp$, when $f(x) > 0$.

Factorisation and Markov properties

Assume density f w.r.t. product measure on \mathcal{X} .

For $a \subseteq V$, $\psi_a(x)$ depends on x_a only. Distribution of X factorizes w.r.t. \mathcal{G} or satisfies (F) if

$$f(x) = \prod_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \psi_a(x)$$

where \mathcal{A} are *complete* subsets of \mathcal{G} . It then holds that

$$(F) \implies (G)$$

and further: If $f(x) > 0$ for all x , $(P) \implies (F)$, so then

$$(F) \iff (G) \iff (L) \iff (P).$$