

1. Estimation in the exponential distribution.

(a)

$$\mathbf{E}\{\tilde{\theta}^2\} = \mathbf{E}\{X_1^2/2\} = \frac{1}{2\theta} \int x^2 e^{-x/\theta} = \frac{1}{2\theta} \theta^2 \Gamma(3) = \theta.$$

(b) The joint density of  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_i e^{-x_i/\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\sum_i x_i/\theta},$$

and Neyman's factorization theorem yields the result.

(c) Let  $T = t(X) = \sum_i X_i$ . Using the hint provided we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\{X_1^2/2 | T = t\} &= \frac{t^2}{2} \mathbf{E}\{(X_1/T)^2 | T = t\} = \frac{t^2}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{b^2(1-b)^{n-2}}{B(1, n-1)} db \\ &= \frac{t^2}{2} \frac{B(3, n-1)}{B(1, n-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

Inserting this into the last expression yields the Rao-Blackwellized estimator

$$\check{\theta}^2 = E\{X_1^2/2 | T\} = \frac{T^2}{2} \frac{\Gamma(3)\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n+2)\Gamma(1)\Gamma(n-1)} = \frac{(\sum X_i)^2}{n(n+1)}.$$

(d) The Fisher information about  $\theta$  is obtained as follows.

$$S(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X; \theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{\sum_i X_i}{\theta^2}, \quad -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X; \theta) = \frac{-n}{\theta^2} + \frac{2\sum_i X_i}{\theta^3}.$$

Taking expectations yields

$$i(\theta) = \frac{-n}{\theta^2} + \frac{2n\theta}{\theta^3} = \frac{n}{\theta^2},$$

so the Cramér-Rao bound for unbiased estimation of  $\theta^2$  becomes

$$(2\theta)^2 \frac{\theta^2}{n} = \frac{4\theta^4}{n}.$$

Let  $Y_i = X_i/\theta$ ; then  $U = \sum Y_i$  is Gamma distributed with parameters  $(n, 1)$  so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(U^2) &= \mathbf{E}(U^4) - \{\mathbf{E}(U^2)\}^2 = \frac{\Gamma(n+4)}{\Gamma(n)} - \left(\frac{\Gamma(n+2)}{\Gamma(n)}\right)^2 \\ &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) - n^2(n+1)^2 = 2n(n+1)(n+3). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{V}(\check{\theta}^2) = \theta^4 \frac{2n(n+1)(n+3)}{n^2(n+1)^2} = \theta^4 \frac{2(2n+3)}{n(n+1)},$$

and the Bahadur efficiency becomes

$$\text{beff}(\check{\theta}^2) = \frac{2n+2}{2n+3} < 1,$$

so the bound is not attained and the estimator is not Bahadur efficient (although close).

- (e) The MLE is determined by  $S(\hat{\theta}) = 0$  so we get  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}$  and  $\hat{\theta}^2 = \bar{X}^2$ . This has expectation and variance equal to

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\theta}^2) = \frac{\theta^2}{n^2} \mathbf{E}(U^2) = \theta^2 \frac{n+1}{n}, \quad \mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}^2) = \theta^4 \frac{2n(n+1)(2n+3)}{n^4}.$$

Thus we have  $\mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}^2) > \mathbf{V}(\check{\theta}^2)$  and since the last is unbiased this implies in particular

$$\text{mse}(\check{\theta}^2) < \text{mse}(\hat{\theta}^2).$$

Note that  $\check{\theta}$  is the bias-corrected version of  $\hat{\theta}$ .

## 2. Properties of Fisher information

- (a) We have

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X; \theta) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X_1; \theta) - \cdots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X_n; \theta).$$

Taking expectations on both sides yields

$$i(\theta) = i_1(\theta) + \cdots + i_n(\theta).$$

- (b) We have that

$$f(x; \theta) = f(x | t(x); \theta) f\{t(x); \theta\}$$

Now, let  $Y = t(X)$ , take logarithms and second derivatives to get

$$-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X; \theta) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X | Y; \theta) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(Y; \theta)$$

Next, take expectations to find

$$i(\theta) = -\mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X | Y; \theta) \right\} + i_Y(\theta).$$

Iterate expectations in the first term to yield

$$i(\theta) = \mathbf{E} \left\{ -\mathbf{E} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X | Y; \theta) \mid Y \right) \right\} = i_{X|Y}(\theta).$$

- (c) The loss of information  $i_{X|Y}(\theta)$  is always non-negative as it is the expectation of the variance of the conditional score statistic. This yields the inequality

$$i(\theta) \geq i_Y(\theta).$$

Equality can only happen when the conditional score statistic is identically equal to 0 and therefore

$$f(x | t(x); \theta) = h(x)$$

is independent of  $\theta$ , i.e. that  $Y = t(X)$  is sufficient.

### 3. Estimation in a uniform position-scale model.

- (a) The likelihood function is

$$L(\mu, \delta) = \begin{cases} (2\delta)^{-n} & \text{if } \mu - \delta > x_{(1)} \text{ and } \mu + \delta < x_{(n)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so  $(X^{(1)}, X^{(n)})$  is clearly sufficient. But since  $(X^{(1)}, X^{(n)})$  also can be inferred from the likelihood function it is also minimal sufficient.

- (b) We have

$$\mathbf{E}(X) = \mu, \quad \mathbf{V}(X) = \delta^2/3$$

so

$$\tilde{\mu} = \bar{X}, \quad \tilde{\delta} = \sqrt{\frac{3SSD}{n}}.$$

- (c) The likelihood function is maximized when  $\delta$  is minimized, subject to the constraint that the interval  $(\mu - \delta, \mu + \delta)$  must contain  $X_{(1)}$  and  $X_{(n)}$ . This minimum is attained when

$$\hat{\mu} = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2, \quad \hat{\delta} = (X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})/2.$$

(Note there was a small typo here in the original problem sheet).

- (d) Let  $Y_i = X_i - \mu$ . Then  $Y$  has a symmetric distribution so

$$\mathbf{E}(Y_{(1)}) = -\mathbf{E}(Y_{(n)})$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\mu}) = \mathbf{E}\{(X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2\} = \mu + \mathbf{E}\{(Y_{(1)} + Y_{(n)})/2\} = \mu.$$

- (e) The variance of  $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $\delta^2/(3n)$ .

To find the variance of the MLE we introduce  $Z_i = (X_i - \mu)/\delta$  which are clearly uniform on  $(-1, 1)$ , and  $(U, V) = (Z_{(1)}, Z_{(n)})$ . Realising that

$W = V + 1$  is uniform on  $(0, 2)$  and using a result from the previous problem sheet we get

$$\mathbf{V}(U) = \mathbf{V}(V) = \mathbf{V}(W) = \frac{4n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}.$$

To find the covariance of  $U$  and  $V$  we first find their joint density. We get for  $-1 < u < v < 1$  that

$$P(U > u, V \leq v) = \frac{(v-u)^n}{2^n}$$

and differentiation w.r.t.  $u$  and  $v$  yields the density

$$f(u, v) = \begin{cases} n(n-1)(v-u)^{n-2}/2^n & \text{if } -1 < u < v < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now the variance of  $V - U$  is found by direct integration to

$$\mathbf{V}(V - U) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2^n(n+1)(n+2)}$$

so using

$$\mathbf{V}(V-U) = \mathbf{V}(U) + \mathbf{V}(V) - 2\text{Cov}(U, V), \quad \mathbf{V}(U+V) = \mathbf{V}(U) + \mathbf{V}(V) + 2\text{Cov}(U, V)$$

we get

$$\mathbf{V}\hat{\mu} = \delta^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{V}(U+V) \right\} = \delta^2 \left\{ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2^{n+2}(n+1)(n+2)} \right\},$$

which goes to 0 at the rate of  $n^{-2}$ .

- (f) When  $\delta = 1$ , we have that the distribution of  $A$  does not depend on  $\mu$  since

$$X_{(n)} - X_{(1)} = (X_{(n)} - \mu) - (X_{(1)} - \mu).$$

- (g) Let  $B = (U + V)/2$  and  $A = (V - U)/2$ . This linear transformation has determinant  $1/2$  so the joint density of  $(a, b)$  is

$$f(a, b) = \begin{cases} 2n(n-1)a^{n-2} & \text{if } -1 < b-a < b+a < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The conditional density of  $B$  given  $A = a$  is then found by keeping  $a$  constant so

$$f(b|a) \propto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -1 < b-a < b+a < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

i.e. the *MLE* is uniformly distributed on the appropriate interval:

$$f(\hat{\mu}|a) \propto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -1 + a + \mu < b < 1 + \mu - a \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$