- 1. Estimation in the normal distribution:
  - (a) We have

$$\mathbf{E}(\bar{X}) = \mathbf{E}\{(X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n\} = \{\mathbf{E}(X_1) + \dots + \mathbf{E}(X_n)\}/n = n\mu/n = \mu.$$

(b) The symmetry of the distribution of  $X_i - \mu$  implies

$$\mathbf{E}\{(X-\mu)_{(p+1)}\} = \mathbf{E}\{(\mu-X)_{(p+1)}\}.$$

Combining this with the identity

$$X_{(p+1)} - \mu = (X - \mu)_{(p+1)} = -\{(\mu - X)_{(p+1)}\}\$$

yields

$$\mathbf{E}\{X_{(p+1)}-\mu\} = \mathbf{E}\{(X-\mu)_{(p+1)}\} = \mathbf{E}\{(\mu-X)_{(p+1)}\} = -\mathbf{E}\{(\mu-X)_{(p+1)}\} = 0$$

and hence that  $\mathbf{E}(\tilde{\mu}) = \mathbf{E}(X_{(p+1)}) = \mu$ .

Note that the argument applies to any distribution which is symmetric around  $\mu$  so the unbiasedness does not only hold in the normal case.

(c) The identity for any positive random variable

$$\mathbf{V}(\sqrt{Y}) = \mathbf{E}(Y) - {\mathbf{E}(\sqrt{Y})}^2$$

yields for Y = SSD/n where, clearly,  $\mathbf{V}(\sqrt{Y}) > 0$ 

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\sigma}) = \mathbf{E}\{\sqrt{(SSD/n)}\} < \sqrt{\mathbf{E}(SSD/n)} = \sigma,$$

hence  $\hat{\sigma}$  is not unbiased.

(d) For  $n=3,\,Y=SSD/\sigma^2$  follows a  $\chi^2\text{-distribution}$  with two degrees of freedom, hence

$$\mathbf{E}(\sqrt{Y}) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{y} \frac{1}{2\Gamma(1)} e^{-y/2} \, dy = \frac{2^{3/2}\Gamma(3/2)}{2\Gamma(1)} = \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi/2},$$

hence  $\tilde{\sigma} = 2\hat{\sigma}/\sqrt{\pi}$  is unbiased for  $\sigma$ .

(e) If we let d = (n - 1) we similarly find for general n that

$$\mathbf{E}(\sqrt{Y}) = \int_0^\infty \sqrt{y} \frac{y^{d/2-1}}{2^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)} e^{-y/2} \, dy = \frac{2^{(d+1)/2} \Gamma((d+1)/2)}{2^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)},$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$c = \frac{\sqrt{d\Gamma(d/2)}}{\sqrt{2}\Gamma((d+1)/2)}$$

makes cS an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma$ .

- 2. Estimation in the uniform distribution on  $(0, \theta)$ 
  - (a) The variance of the average is

$$\mathbf{V}(\tilde{\theta}) = \mathbf{V}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\theta^2}{12n}$$

as  $\mathbf{V}(X_i) = \theta^2/12$ , which is seen by direct integration

$$\mathbf{E}(X_i) = \int_0^\theta \frac{1}{\theta} x \, dx = \theta/2, \quad \mathbf{E}(X_i^2) = \theta^2/3, \quad \mathbf{V}(X_i) = \theta^2/3 - \theta^2/4 = \theta^2/12.$$

- (b) The likelihood function is 0 for  $0 < \theta < x_{(n)}$  and equal to  $\theta^{-n}$  for  $\theta > x_{(n)}$ .
- (c) Let  $U = X_{(n)}$ , we then have

$$P(U \le u) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(X_i \le u) = (u/\theta)^n \text{ for } 0 < u < \theta$$

so differentiation yields that U has density

$$f(u; \theta) = nu^{n-1}\theta^{-n}$$
 for  $0 < u < \theta$ .

Direct integration now yields

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{E}(U) = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}$$

(d) The estimator

$$\check{\theta} = \frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)}$$

is unbiased. Direct integration gives  $E(U^2)=(n\theta^2)/(n+2)$  so

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{V}(U) = \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)^2}$$

and

$$\mathbf{V}(\check{\theta}) = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}.$$

(e) Clearly, the mean square error of  $\tilde{\theta}$  is very large compared to the mean square error of  $\tilde{\theta}$ . Even the ratio of variances of the second to the first is

$$\frac{12n}{n(n+2)} = \frac{12}{n+2}$$

which tends to 0 for  $n \to \infty$ .

The mean square error of  $\hat{\theta}$  is

mse
$$(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbf{V}(\hat{\theta}) + {\text{bias}(\hat{\theta})}^2$$
  
=  $\theta^2 \left( \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \right) = \frac{2\theta^2}{(n+2)(n+1)},$ 

so, in terms of mean square error,

$$\operatorname{reff}(\check{\theta}:\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\operatorname{mse}(\theta)}{\operatorname{mse}(\check{\theta})} = \frac{2n}{n+1}$$

which is larger than 1 unless n = 1, so the bias-correction seems well justified in this case.

- 3. Linear unbiased estimation
  - (a) We get

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\mu}) = \mathbf{E}(w_1 X_1 + w_2 X_2 + \dots + w_n X_n) = \sum_i w_i \mathbf{E}(X_i) = \mu \sum_i w_i$$

so  $\hat{\mu}$  is unbiased if and only if  $\sum w_i = 1$ .

(b) We have

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\mu}) = \sum_{i} w_i^2 \sigma_i^2,$$

which should be minimized subject to the constraint  $\sum_i w_i = 1$ . Use now e.g. Lagrange multipliers to get the result:

$$L = \sum_{i} w_i^2 \sigma_i^2 - \lambda (\sum_{i} w_i - 1),$$

and differentiation w.r.t.  $w_i$  and yields

$$2w_i\sigma_i^2 = \lambda \Rightarrow w_i \propto \sigma_i^{-2}$$

 $\mathbf{so}$ 

$$w_i = \frac{\sigma_i^{-2}}{\sum_j \sigma_j^{-2}}.$$

(c) We have

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\mu}) = \sum_{i} w_i^2 \sigma_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{i} \sigma_i^{-4} \sigma_i^2}{\left(\sum \sigma_i^{-2}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i} \sigma_i^{-2}}$$

(d) When  $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2$  we have  $\mathbf{V}(\hat{\mu}) = \sigma^2/n$  which tends to zero for  $n \to \infty$  whereas

$$\operatorname{bias}(\hat{\mu}) = \sum \beta_i / n = \bar{\beta}$$

is equal to the average bias and

$$mse(\hat{\mu}) = \sigma^2/n + \bar{\beta}^2$$

Therefore the bias tends to dominate the variance as n gets large, which is very unfortunate.

(e) The last question is really unfair (sorry about that) and there is plenty of room for imagination. But if, for example,  $\sigma_i^2$  is bounded, similar phenomena as above will prevail.

## 4. Estimation in the Gamma distribution

(a)

$$c(\theta)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty x^2 e^{-\theta x} \, dx = \Gamma(3)/\theta^3 = 2/\theta^3.$$

(b) We get

$$\mathbf{E}(\tilde{\theta}) = \mathbf{E}(2/X) = \frac{2\theta^3}{2} \int_0^\infty x e^{-\theta x} \, dx = \theta^3 \theta^{-2} \Gamma(2) = \theta$$

so  $\tilde{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . To get the variance we use

$$\mathbf{E}(\tilde{\theta}^2) = 2\theta^3 \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta x} \, dx = 2\theta^2$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\mathbf{V}(\tilde{\theta}) = 2\theta^2 - \theta^2 = \theta^2$$

(c) To find the Fisher information  $i(\theta)$  for  $\theta$  we use

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x;\theta) = -x + 3/\theta, \quad -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x;\theta) = 3/\theta^2 = i(\theta).$$

Thus we get

$$\operatorname{eff}(\tilde{\theta}) = \frac{\theta^2}{3\theta^2} = 1/3.$$

(d) We get

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\theta^3}{6} \int_0^\infty x^3 e^{-\theta x} \, dx = \frac{\theta^3 \Gamma(4)}{6\theta^4} = 1/\theta = \mu,$$

so  $\hat{\mu}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\mu$ .

(e) Similarly

$$\mathbf{E}(\hat{\mu}^2) = \frac{\theta^3}{18} \int_0^\infty x^4 e^{-\theta x} \, dx = \frac{\theta^3 \Gamma(5)}{\theta^5} = \frac{4}{3\theta^2}$$
$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{1}{3\theta^2}.$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

To calculate the Cramér–Rao bound we find for 
$$g(\theta) = 1/\theta$$
 that  $g'(\theta) = -\theta^{-2}$  so the lower bound is

$$i(\theta)^{-1}\{g'(\theta)^2\} = \frac{\theta^2}{3}\theta^{-4} = \frac{1}{3\theta^2} = \mathbf{V}(\hat{\mu}).$$

October 26, 2004

Steffen L. Lauritzen, University of Oxford