1. Let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be a sample from the Gamma distribution with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ both unknown, i.e. the distribution with individual densities

$$f(x; \alpha, \beta) = rac{eta^{lpha} x^{lpha - 1}}{\Gamma(lpha)} e^{-eta x}, \quad x > 0.$$

The canonical minimal sufficient statistic is $T = (S, C) = (\sum_i \log X_i, \sum_i X_i).$

(a) Find the marginal density of C; The sum of Gamma variables with known shape parameter is itself Gamma distributed so

$$f(c;\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta^{n\alpha}c^{n\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(n\alpha)}e^{-\beta c}.$$

(b) Show that for fixed α , C is sufficient for β ; When α is fixed, the joint density of X_1, \ldots, X_n factorizes as

$$L(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\beta^{n\alpha} \prod_i x_i^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n} e^{-\beta c(x)} = h(\alpha,x)g(c(x),\alpha,\beta)$$

and Neyman's criterion yields the result.

(c) Find the conditional likelihood function for α;Dividing the joint density with the marginal yields

$$L(\alpha \mid C = c) = \frac{\Gamma(n\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)^n} \prod_i (x_i/c)^{\alpha - 1},$$

(also showing that $(X_1/C, \ldots X_n/C)$ follows a Beta distribution).

(d) Find the profile likelihood function for α ; Maximizing the joint likelihood function over β yields $\hat{\beta}(\alpha) = n\alpha/c = \alpha/\bar{x}$ and hence

$$\hat{L}(\alpha) \propto (\alpha/\bar{x})^{n\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)^{-n} e^{\alpha(s-n)}.$$

(e) Find the integrated likelihood for α when β is given a Gamma prior distribution with density

$$\pi(\beta) \propto \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(b)} \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta}.$$

We get

$$\bar{L}(\alpha) = \int L(\alpha, \beta)\pi(\beta) d\beta$$

$$\propto e^{s\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)^{-n} \int \beta^{n\alpha} e^{-\beta c} \frac{b^a}{\Gamma(b)} \beta^{a-1} e^{-b\beta}$$

$$\propto e^{s\alpha}\Gamma(\alpha)^{-n}\Gamma(a+n\alpha)(b+c)^{-(n\alpha+a)}.$$

(f) Discuss inference for α when β is a nuisance parameter.

The conditional likelihood function eliminates the effect of the nuisance parameter and would be safe to use.

2. Consider $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and define X_2 as

$$X_2 = \begin{cases} X_1 & \text{if } |X_1| > c \\ -X_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Determine c so that X_1 and X_2 are uncorrelated. We get

$$\mathbf{E}(X_1 X_2) = 2 \int_c^\infty x^2 \phi(x) \, dx - 2 \int_0^c x^2 \phi(x) \, dx.$$

Substituting $u = x^2$ we get

$$\int_{c}^{\infty} x^{2} \phi(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{c}^{\infty} x^{2} e^{-x^{2}/2} dx = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{c^{2}}^{\infty} u e^{-u/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u}} du$$
$$= \frac{2^{3/2} \Gamma(3/2)}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \{1 - F_{3}(c)\} = \frac{1}{2} \{1 - F_{3}(c)\}$$

where F_3 is the distribution function of the χ^2 -distribution with three degrees of freedom. Thus

$$\mathbf{E}(X_1 X_2) = 1 - 2F_3(c^2)$$

and X_1 and X_2 are uncorrelated if

$$c = \sqrt{F_3^{-1}(1/2)} = 1.538172.$$

3. Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$ where I_d is the $d \times d$ identity matrix and let O be an orthogonal $d \times d$ matrix, i.e. $O^{\top}O = OO^{\top} = I_d$. Show that $Y = OX \sim \mathcal{N}_d(0, \sigma^2 I_d)$.

We have that if $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$ then $AX \sim \mathcal{N}A\xi, A\Sigma A^{\top}$). Hence with the above specification

$$OX \sim \mathcal{N}_d(O0, O\sigma^2 I_d O^\top) = \mathcal{N}_d(0, \sigma^2 OO^\top) = \mathcal{N}_d(0, \sigma^2 I_d).$$

4. Let $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ be multivariate Gaussian $\mathcal{N}_3(\xi, \Sigma)$ with

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) Find the distribution of $X_1 + X_2$; Since

$$(1,1)\left(\begin{array}{cc}4&1\\1&2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}1\\1\end{array}\right) = 8$$

we have

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(2+3,7) = \mathcal{N}(5,8).$$

- (b) Find the conditional distribution of X_3 given $X_1 = 0$;
 - We first marginalise to obtain the covariance matrix of (X_1, X_3) as

$$\Sigma_{13} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 4 \\ & \\ 4 & 5 \end{array}\right).$$

Then

$$\Sigma_{3|1} = 5 - 16/4 = 1,$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$X_3 | X_1 = 0 \sim \mathcal{N}\{-1 + \frac{1}{4}4(0-2), 1\} = \mathcal{N}(-3, 1).$$

(c) Find the concentration matrix $K = \Sigma^{-1}$; We have to invert the covariance matrix to get

$$K = \Sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 3 & -6 \\ 3 & 4 & -4 \\ -6 & -4 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(d) Find the conditional distribution of (X_1, X_2) given $X_3 = 1$. The concentration matrix is

$$K = \frac{1}{3} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 6 & 3 \\ \\ 3 & 4 \end{array} \right)$$

so by inversion

$$\Sigma_{12|3} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -3 \\ & \\ -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix},$$

which could also have been found directly from the covariance matrix. The conditional expectation is easiest to calculate as

$$\xi_{12|3} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\\3 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 4\\2 \end{pmatrix} \{1 - (-1)\} = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 18\\19 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(e) Find the conditional distribution of $X_1 + X_2$ given $X_3 = 1$. We can now proceed as in (a) to find

$$X_1 + X_2 \mid X_3 = 1 \sim \mathcal{N}(37/5, 4/5).$$

Steffen L. Lauritzen, University of Oxford

February 12, 2008