## Generalized linear models

## Steffen Lauritzen, University of Oxford

BS2 Statistical Inference, Lecture 5, Hilary Term 2008

February 1, 2008

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

æ

A generalized linear model is based on a family the form

$$f(y;\theta,\phi) = b(y,\phi)e^{\{y\theta-c(\theta)\}/d(\phi)}.$$
 (1)

For  $\phi$  fixed and  $\theta$  varying over all possible values, this is a one-dimensional exponential family with canonical statistic t(y) = y, canonical parameter  $\theta^* = \theta/d(\phi)$ , and cumulant generating function

$$\kappa\{\theta^*\} = \kappa\{\theta/d(\phi)\} = c(\theta)/d(\phi) = \log \int b(y,\phi)e^{y\theta^*} \, dy, \quad (2)$$

so

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{Y}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^*} \kappa\{\theta/d(\phi)\} = d(\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \kappa\{\theta/d(\phi)\} = c'(\theta)$$

and

$$\mathbf{V}(Y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^{*2}} \kappa\{\theta/d(\phi)\} = d(\phi)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \kappa\{\theta/d(\phi)\} = c''(\theta)d(\phi).$$

The natural exponential family Mean and variance function

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

An exponential families with the canonical statistic t(y) = y is also known as a *natural exponential family* (NEF), but terminology varies among authors so beware. Clearly, one can either consider the family (1) as an exponential family with canonical statistic t(y) = y and canonical parameter  $\theta^* = \theta/d(\phi)$ , or let  $t^*(y) = y/d(\phi)$  with parameter  $\theta$ .

For varying  $\phi$ , the situation is generally much more complex. Sometimes it is an exponential family, sometimes not. Sometimes it is not possible to have  $d(\phi)$  varying independently of  $\theta$  at all, e.g. in the Poisson case.

When  $d(\phi)$  is varying, it is a strong restriction on the function  $b(y, \phi)$  to assume that the cumulant generating function (2) has the form  $\kappa(\theta/\phi) = c(\theta)/d(\phi)$ .

The natural exponential family Mean and variance function

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Since  $\mathbf{V}(Y) = d(\phi)c''(\theta)$  we have  $c''(\theta) > 0$  and hence the function  $c'(\theta)$  is strictly increasing in  $\theta$ . We can therefore parametrize the family with its mean  $\mu$  and define  $\theta(\mu)$  by the relation

$$\mu = \mathbf{E}(Y) = c'(\theta), \quad \theta(\mu) = c'^{-1}(\mu)$$

and define the variance function

$$\mathsf{v}(\mu) = \mathsf{c}''\{\theta(\mu)\}$$

so now

$$\mathbf{V}(Y) = d(\phi)v(\mu)$$

and we can readily think of  $\phi$  as a *dispersion parameter*.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

An important fact is that the variance functions identifies the family in the sense that two families of densities which both have the form (1) and have the same variance function  $v(\mu)$ , must be identical.

Common variance functions for standard families are

Normal	Poisson	Binomial	Gamma	Inverse Gaussian
1	$\mu$	$\mu(1-\mu)$	$\mu^2$	$\mu^{3}$

Not all functions  $v(\mu)$  can occur as variance functions.

For example, a function of the form  $v(\mu) = \mu^{\alpha}$  is a variance function for a NEF if  $\alpha \leq 0$  or  $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ , but not if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Generalized linear models describe independent samples of the form  $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_n)$  where each  $Y_i$  is a one-dimensional *response* to *covariates*  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ip})$  having distribution of the form (1), with expectations  $\mu_i$  and dispersions  $d_i(\phi)$ .

For simplicity we assume  $d_i(\phi) = \phi$  although  $d_i(\phi) = \phi/w_i$  with  $w_i$  being a known *weight* may be appropriate in some cases. Formally we assume  $\phi$  known for the moment.

The *saturated model* makes no further restriction on the parameters  $\mu_i$  and the maximum likelihood estimator under this model is therefore given as

$$\hat{\mu} = Y,$$

provided the base exponential family is regular.

Setup Modelling the responses

More generally, we restrict the vector of expectations  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)^{\top}$  through a *linear predictor*  $\eta_i = x_i \beta$  written in matrix form as

$$\eta = X\beta$$

where  $x_i$  are the rows of X and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^\top$  is a vector of unknown parameters, and a *link function* g relating the linear predictor to the mean as

$$\eta_i = g(\mu_i).$$

Here care should be taken in the choice of link function, as the parameter space for  $\beta$  must be restricted so that this equation makes sense.

A special role is played by the link function  $g(\mu) = \theta(\mu) = c'^{-1}(\mu)$  which is known as *canonical link*.

・ロット (四) (日) (日)

Basic definitions Canonical link The generalized linear model General link function Likelihood analysis Estimating the dispersion parameter

If we consider the likelihood function we get

$$I(\beta) = \log L(\beta) = \sum_{i} \{y_i \theta_i - c(\theta_i)\} / \phi = \{y^\top \theta - \sum_{i} c(\theta_i)\} / \phi,$$

where now

$$\theta_i = \theta(\mu_i) = \theta\{g^{-1}(\eta_i)\} = \theta\{g^{-1}(x_i\beta)\}.$$

If g is the canonical link function, we have  $g(\mu) = \theta(\mu)$  and hence  $\theta_i = x_i\beta$ . This then yields

$$I(\beta) = \{y^{\top} X \beta - \sum_{i} c(x_{i}\beta)\} / \phi = \{(X^{\top} y)^{\top} \beta - \sum_{i} c(x_{i}\beta)\} / \phi$$

and hence the family of joint distributions is a linear and canonical exponential family with canonical statistic  $t(y) = X^{\top}y$  and  $\beta/\phi$  as the canonical parameter.

Basic definitions The generalized linear model Likelihood analysis Canonical link General link function Estimating the dispersion parameter

Thus, the likelihood equation for a fixed  $\phi$  again equates the expectation of the sufficient statistic to the observed value. Interpreting vector functions componentwise this has the simple form

$$X^{ op}\mu(eta) = X^{ op}y$$

or equivalently

$$X^{\top}\{y - \mu(\beta)\} = 0$$

expressing that the residual  $y - \mu(\beta)$  is orthogonal to all columns of X.

From general theory of exponential families it is known that *there* is at most one solution  $\hat{\beta}$  to this equation, despite the fact that the equation typically is non-linear in  $\beta$ , as  $\mu(\beta) = g^{-1}(X\beta)$ .

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

Basic definitions Canonical link The generalized linear model General link function Likelihood analysis Estimating the dispersion parameter

For a general link function, the score statistic can be written in the form

$$S(\beta) = Z^{\top}W\{y - \mu(\beta)\}/\phi$$

where Z is a matrix with elements

$$Z(\beta)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \beta_j}$$

and  $W(\beta)$  is a diagonal matrix with diagonal elements equal to  $W_{ii} = 1/v\{\mu_i(\beta)\}.$ 

Fisher's method of scoring leads to a *iterative weighted least* squares regression procedure (IRLS) for solving these, which now can be used for all generalized linear models, only the calculation of the matrix Z and the weights W being special to the model considered, depending in a simple way on the link and variance functions. Details are omitted here.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨトー

The goodness of fit of a specific generalized linear model is assessed in the usual way using the *deviance* 

$$D(\hat{\mu}; y) = -2\{I(\hat{\mu}; y) - I(y; y)\} \\ = -2\{I_1(\hat{\mu}; y) - I_1(y; y)\}/\phi = D_1(\hat{\mu}; y)/\phi,$$

where I(y; y) is the maximized log-likelihood in the saturated model and  $I(\hat{\mu}; y)$  is the maximized log-likelihood in the model considered.

The symbol  $I_1$  is used for the log-likelihood in the case  $\phi = 1$  and similarly for  $D_1$ .

・ロン ・回と ・ヨン・

Under reasonable assumption on the behaviour of the covariates  $x_i$ , D can be shown to be asymptotically distributed as a  $\chi^2$ -distribution with degrees of freedom n - p where X is assumed to have full rank p.

In the situation, where the dispersion parameter  $\phi$  is considered *unknown* it is therefore customary to use the estimator

$$\tilde{\phi} = \frac{D_1(\hat{\mu}, Y)}{n-p}.$$

Note that this is *not* a maximum likelihood estimator, and there are good reasons for not using the MLE:

*Firstly,* the problem of finding the MLE of  $\phi$  could be computationally very difficult in general, and the computational problem very different for different variance functions.

Secondly, there would be a problem with the nuisance parameter  $\beta$  distorting the estimate, in particular if the dimension p of  $\beta$  is large.

The estimate for  $\phi$  used is thus based on 'approximate marginal likelihood', estimating  $\phi$  on the basis of the approximate  $\chi^2$ -distribution for the deviance. The MLE of  $\mu$  is the same for all values of  $\phi$  and is therefore appropriate as is.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト