

The Multivariate Gaussian Distribution

Steffen Lauritzen, University of Oxford

BS2 Statistical Inference, Lecture 6, Hilary Term 2008

February 1, 2008

A d -dimensional random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is has a *multivariate Gaussian distribution* or *normal* distribution on \mathcal{R}^d if there is a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{R}^d$ and a $d \times d$ matrix Σ such that

$$\lambda^\top X \sim \mathcal{N}(\lambda^\top \xi, \lambda^\top \Sigma \lambda) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathcal{R}^d. \quad (1)$$

We then write $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$.

Taking $\lambda = e_i$ or $\lambda = e_i + e_j$ where e_i is the unit vector with i -th coordinate 1 and the remaining equal to zero yields:

$$X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_i, \sigma_{ii}), \quad \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) = \sigma_{ij}.$$

Hence ξ is the *mean vector* and Σ the *covariance matrix* of the distribution.

The definition (1) makes sense if and only if $\lambda^\top \Sigma \lambda \geq 0$, i.e. if Σ is *positive semidefinite*. Note that we have allowed distributions with variance zero.

The multivariate moment generating function of X can be calculated using the relation (1) as

$$m_d(\lambda) = E\{e^{\lambda^\top X}\} = e^{\lambda^\top \xi + \lambda^\top \Sigma \lambda / 2}$$

where we have used that the univariate moment generating function for $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is

$$m_1(t) = e^{t\mu + \sigma^2 t^2 / 2}$$

and let $t = 1$, $\mu = \lambda^\top \xi$, and $\sigma^2 = \lambda^\top \Sigma \lambda$.

In particular this means that *a multivariate Gaussian distribution is determined by its mean vector and covariance matrix.*

Assume $X^\top = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ with X_i independent and $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_i, \sigma_i^2)$. Then

$$\lambda^\top X = \lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_2 X_2 + \lambda_3 X_3 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \tau^2)$$

with

$$\mu = \lambda^\top \xi = \lambda_1 \xi_1 + \lambda_2 \xi_2 + \lambda_3 \xi_3, \quad \tau^2 = \lambda_1^2 \sigma_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \sigma_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 \sigma_3^2.$$

Hence $X \sim \mathcal{N}_3(\xi, \Sigma)$ with $\xi^\top = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ and

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If Σ is *positive definite*, i.e. if $\lambda^\top \Sigma \lambda > 0$ for $\lambda \neq 0$, the distribution has density on \mathcal{R}^d

$$f(x | \xi, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} (\det K)^{1/2} e^{-(x-\xi)^\top K(x-\xi)/2}, \quad (2)$$

where $K = \Sigma^{-1}$ is the *concentration matrix* of the distribution. We then also say that Σ is *regular*.

If X_1, \dots, X_d are independent and $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\xi_i, \sigma_i^2)$ their joint density has the form (2) with $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_i^2)$ and $K = \Sigma^{-1} = \text{diag}(1/\sigma_i^2)$.

Hence *vectors of independent Gaussians are multivariate Gaussian*.

In the bivariate case it is traditional to write

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho \\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\rho & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with ρ being the *correlation* between X_1 and X_2 . Then

$$\det(\Sigma) = \sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2(1 - \rho^2) = \det(K)^{-1}$$

and

$$K = \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2(1 - \rho^2)} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2^2 & -\sigma_1\sigma_2\rho \\ -\sigma_1\sigma_2\rho & \sigma_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the density becomes

$$f(x | \xi, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_1\sigma_2\sqrt{(1-\rho^2)}} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left\{ \frac{(x_1-\xi_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} - 2\rho \frac{(x_1-\xi_1)(x_2-\xi_2)}{\sigma_1\sigma_2} + \frac{(x_2-\xi_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right\}}.$$

The contours of this density are ellipses and the corresponding density is bell-shaped with maximum in (ξ_1, ξ_2) .

The marginal distributions of a vector X can all be Gaussian without the joint being multivariate Gaussian:

For example, let $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and define X_2 as

$$X_2 = \begin{cases} X_1 & \text{if } |X_1| > c \\ -X_1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, using the symmetry of the univariate Gaussian distribution, X_2 is also distributed as $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

However, the joint distribution is not Gaussian unless $c = 0$ since, for example, $Y = X_1 + X_2$ satisfies

$$P(Y = 0) = P(X_2 = -X_1) = P(|X_1| \leq c) = \Phi(c) - \Phi(-c).$$

Note that for $c = 0$, the correlation ρ between X_1 and X_2 is 1 whereas for $c = \infty$, $\rho = -1$.

It follows that there is a value of c so that X_1 and X_2 are uncorrelated, and still not jointly Gaussian.

Adding two independent Gaussians yields a Gaussian:

If $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi_1, \Sigma_1)$ and $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi_2, \Sigma_2)$ and $X_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp X_2$

$$X_1 + X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi_1 + \xi_2, \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2).$$

To see this, just note that

$$\lambda^\top (X_1 + X_2) = \lambda^\top X_1 + \lambda^\top X_2$$

and use the univariate addition property.

Linear transformations preserve multivariate normality:

If A is an $r \times d$ matrix, $b \in \mathcal{R}^r$ and $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$, then

$$Y = AX + b \sim \mathcal{N}_r(A\xi + b, A\Sigma A^\top).$$

Again, just write

$$\gamma^\top Y = \gamma^\top (AX + b) = (A^\top \gamma)^\top X + \gamma^\top b$$

and use the corresponding univariate result.

Partition X into X_1 and X_2 , where $X_1 \in \mathcal{R}^r$ and $X_2 \in \mathcal{R}^s$ with $r + s = d$.

Partition mean vector, concentration and covariance matrix accordingly as

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that Σ_{11} is $r \times r$ and so on. *Then, if $X \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\xi, \Sigma)$*

$$X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_s(\xi_2, \Sigma_{22}).$$

This follows simply from the previous fact using the matrix

$$A = (0_{sr} \ I_s).$$

where 0_{sr} is an $s \times r$ matrix of zeros and I_s is the $s \times s$ identity matrix.

If Σ_{22} is regular, it further holds that

$$X_1 | X_2 = x_2 \sim \mathcal{N}_r(\xi_{1|2}, \Sigma_{1|2}),$$

where

$$\xi_{1|2} = \xi_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(x_2 - \xi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{1|2} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}.$$

In particular, *if $\Sigma_{12} = 0$ if and only if X_1 and X_2 are independent.*

From the matrix identities

$$K_{11}^{-1} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21} = \Sigma_{1|2} \quad (3)$$

and

$$K_{11}^{-1}K_{12} = -\Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

it follows that then the conditional expectation and concentrations also can be calculated as

$$\xi_{1|2} = \xi_1 - K_{11}^{-1}K_{12}(x_2 - \xi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{1|2} = K_{11}.$$

Note that the *marginal covariance is simply expressed in terms of Σ* where as the *conditional concentration is simply expressed in terms of K* .

Consider $\mathcal{N}_3(0, \Sigma)$ with covariance matrix

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The concentration matrix is

$$K = \Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The marginal distribution of (X_2, X_3) has covariance and concentration matrix

$$\Sigma_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\Sigma_{23})^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conditional distribution of (X_1, X_2) given X_3 has concentration and covariance matrix

$$K_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_{12|3} = (K_{12})^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, $\mathbf{V}(X_1 | X_2, X_3) = 1/k_{11} = 1/3$, etc.