SC7 Bayes Methods

Problem sheet 0 (background).

I expect you can solve these problems using the knowledge you bring into the course, except perhaps questions 1(d), 3(c) and question 4, so think of this as a warmup PS.

- 1. (a) Consider tossing a drawing pin [see figure at end]. Define the result of a toss to be "heads" if the point lands downwards, and "tails" otherwise. Write p for the probability that a toss will land point downwards. Think about p, and choose a, b, so that a Beta(a, b) prior distribution approximates your subjective prior distribution for p. [I used a = 2 and b = 3 but you may differ.]
 - (b) Now collect data. Toss a drawing pin 100 times and keep track of the number of heads after 10, 50, and 100 tosses. You may find the result depends on the surface you use. [I got 4, 16 and 26 heads after 10, 50 and 100 tosses.]
 - (c) Ask someone else what prior they chose. Think of your respective priors as a hypotheses about p. Who's beliefs were better supported by the data? Compute a Bayes factor comparing your priors. [for me the other person used a = 3 and b = 2.]
 - (d) Estimate a 95% HPD credible interval for p for each of the two priors you are considering, for the case when n=10 trials. Write down the posterior averaged over models, stating any assumptions you make, and estimate a 95% HPD credible interval for p from the model averaged posterior.
- 2. Let $\theta \sim \pi(\cdot)$ and $y \sim p(\cdot|\theta)$ be a prior for a scalar parameter and the observation model for data $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ respectively. Let $\hat{\theta}(y)$ be an estimator for θ .
 - (a) Suppose our loss function for estimating $\hat{\theta}$ when the truth is θ is $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = (\hat{\theta} \theta)^2$. Show that the Bayes estimator is the posterior mean.
 - (b) Suppose θ is discrete and we have the zero-one loss $l(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{I}_{\hat{\theta}(y) \neq \theta}$. Find the Bayes estimator for θ .
- 3. Let $\theta \sim \pi(\cdot | M = m)$ and $y \sim p(\cdot | \theta, M = m)$ be the prior and observation model when the model is M = m and suppose there are just two models, so $M \in \{1, 2\}$. When the model is m the parameter space is $\theta \in \Omega_m$. Consider model selection.
 - (a) Write down the Bayes factor $B_{1,2}$ in terms of the model elements.
 - (b) Is it necessary for the models to be nested in order that the Bayes factor (which is after all a likelihood rato) is a model selection criterion?

(c) Suppose the models are nested with $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$, so we get the M=1 prior by taking the M=2 prior and conditioning on $\theta \in \Omega_1$,

$$\pi(\theta|M=1) = \frac{\pi(\theta|M=2, \theta \in \Omega_1)}{\pi(\Omega_1|M=2)}$$

where

$$\pi(\Omega_1|M=2) = \int_{\Omega_1} \pi(\theta|M=2) d\theta,$$

and $p(y|\theta, M = 1) = p(y|\theta, M = 2)$ for $\theta \in \Omega_1$. Show that

$$B_{1,2} = \frac{\pi(\Omega_1|y, M=2)}{\pi(\Omega_1|M=2)}$$

and briefly interpret.

- 4. (for those who know some MCMC we cover this in the course so not strictly background)
 - (a) Specify a Metropolis-Hastings Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm targeting $p(x|\theta)$ where $x \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ and

$$p(x|\theta) = \binom{n}{x} \theta^x (1-\theta)^{n-x}.$$

Prove that your chain is irreducible and aperiodic.

(b) Suppose now that the unknown true success probability for the Binomial random variable X in part (a) is a random variable Θ which can take values in $\{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, ...\}$ only. The prior is

$$\pi(\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta & \text{for } \theta \in \{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, ...\}, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{for } \theta \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

An observed value X=x of the Binomial variable in part (a) is generated by simulating $\Theta \sim \pi(\cdot)$ to get $\Theta=\theta^*$ say, and then $X\sim p(x|\theta^*)$ as before. Specify a Metropolis-Hastings Markov chain Monte Carlo algorithm simulating a Markov chain targeting the posterior $\pi(\theta|x)$ for $\Theta|X=x$.

Statistics Department, University of Oxford Geoff Nicholls: nicholls@stats.ox.ac.uk

