

# SB2.1 Foundations of Statistical Inference

## Sheet 3 — MT22

### Section A

1. The risks for five decision processes  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_5$  depend on the value of a positive-valued parameter  $\theta$ . The risks are given in the table below

---

	$\delta_1$	$\delta_2$	$\delta_3$	$\delta_4$	$\delta_5$
$0 \leq \theta < 1$	10	10	7	6	8
$1 \leq \theta < 2$	8	11	8	5	10
$2 \leq \theta$	15	11	12	14	14

---

- (a) Which decision procedures are at least as good as  $\delta_1$  for all  $\theta$  ?
- (b) Which decision procedures are admissible?
- (c) Which is the minimax procedure?
- (d) Suppose  $\theta$  has a uniform distribution on  $[0, 5]$ . Which is the Bayes procedure and what is the Bayes risk for that procedure?

### Solution:

- (a)

$$R(\theta, \delta_1) \geq R(\theta, \delta_3) \text{ and } R(\theta, \delta_4)$$

for all  $\theta > 0$  so  $\delta_3, \delta_4$  are at least as good as  $\delta_1$ .

- (b)  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_5$  are inadmissible procedures, so  $\delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4$  are admissible.

- (c) The minimax procedure chooses  $\delta$  to minimize

$$\max_{\theta} R(\theta, \delta)$$

Values of the interior maximum for  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_5$  are 15, 11, 12, 14 and 14, so  $\delta_2$  is the minimax solution.

(d) In the Bayes procedure we minimize

$$\int_0^5 R(\theta, \delta) \cdot \frac{1}{5} d\theta$$

Values of  $5 \times$  the integral are 63, 54, 51, 53, 60 so  $\delta_3$  is the Bayes procedure. The Bayes risk is  $51/5$ .

## Section B

2. Consider a vector of observations  $X$  with sampling model  $X|\theta \sim f(\cdot, \theta)$  with  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and a prior distribution with density  $\pi(\theta)$ . Consider the loss function for estimating  $\theta$

$$L(\theta, \delta) = h(\theta - \delta), \quad h(u) = e^u - u - 1$$

- (a) Show that for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$   $h(u) \geq 0$  and show that  $h$  is a convex function.  
 (b) Determine the form of the Bayes estimator  $\delta(X)$  and prove that it is almost surely unique as soon as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} [e^\theta + |\theta|] \pi(\theta|x) d\theta < +\infty$$

- (c) Assume that  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with prior  $\pi(\theta) \propto 1/\sigma$  where  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

Compute the marginal posterior  $\pi(\mu|X)$  up to proportionality. Is the Bayes estimator  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{Bayes}}$  under the loss function  $L(\mu, \delta) = h(\mu - \delta)$  well defined? (here  $\delta$  is an estimator of  $\mu$ )

- (d) Now assume that  $\sigma$  is known and that we are using a flat prior for  $\mu$ . Find the Bayes estimator.

[Hint: It might be useful to look-up the definition of the inverse Gamma distribution (see [Wikipedia](#)) and of the Student distribution (see [Wikipedia](#)) ]

3. Remember Question 3 in PS2. *In order to measure the intensity,  $\theta$ , of a source of radiation in a noisy environment a measurement  $X_1$  is taken without the source present and a second, independent measurement  $X_2$  is taken with it present. It is known that  $X_1$  is  $N(\mu, 1)$  and  $X_2$  is  $N(\mu + \theta, 1)$ , where  $\mu$  is the mean noise level. In PS2, we showed that if the prior distribution for  $\mu$  is  $N(\mu_0, 1)$  while the prior for  $\theta$  is constant then the marginal posterior for  $\theta$  is Gaussian  $N((2x_2 - x_1 - \mu_0)/2, 3/2)$ .*

- (a) Suppose  $Y_1, Y_2$  are independent Gaussian random variables with respective means  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  and variances 1. What is the Fisher information matrix for  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ ?  
 (b) Compute Jeffrey's prior on  $(\mu, \theta)$ . Is the posterior proper? [Hint: it might be helpful to remember how reparametrization acts on Jeffrey's prior. See [Wikipedia](#).]  
 (c) Compute the marginal posterior distribution of  $\theta$  under Jeffrey's prior. Hence derive the Bayes estimator associated to the quadratic loss function and compute its frequentist risk, the posterior risk and integrated risk.

4. Let  $E(a, b)$  be the distribution from Question 5 on PS2, the shifted exponential with density

$$\frac{1}{b}e^{-(x-a)/b}, \quad x > a$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$  are parameters. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the distribution  $E(a, b)$ . [Hint: It might be useful to look-up the definition of the inverse Gamma distribution (see [Wikipedia](#))]

- (a) If  $a$  is known, derive Jeffrey's prior on  $b$  and compute the posterior mean  $\hat{b}$ . Show that  $\tilde{b} = (n - 1)\hat{b}/n$  is MVUE and attains the Cramer Rao lower bound.
- (b) If  $a$  is known and an inverse  $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  prior is chosen for  $b$ , find the posterior mean. Is it an MVUE? Compute the predictive distribution of  $X_{n+1}$ .
- (c) If  $b$  is known and a prior density  $\pi_a$  on  $a$  is considered. Show that the posterior distribution depends only on  $X_{(1)} = \min X_i$ , compute the posterior mean associated with the prior  $\pi(a) \propto 1$ . Show that the posterior is proper and compute it.
- (d) We continue to assume the prior  $\pi(a) \propto 1$ . Show that for  $z > 0$  and all  $b > 0$ , the posterior probability  $\Pi(n|a - X_{(1)}| > z | X_1, \dots, X_n)$  goes to zero as  $z$  goes to infinity uniformly in  $n$ . Compute the frequentist risk of  $\hat{a}$  (the posterior mean) under the quadratic loss.

[Hint: note that in the expression  $\Pi(n|a - X_{(1)}| > z | X_1, \dots, X_n)$  it is  $a$  which is the random variable since we are conditioning on  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ]

- (e) **Optional, not to be marked.** Suppose now that both  $a$  and  $b$  are unknown. As in PS2, define  $T_1(X) = X_{(1)}$  and  $T_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - X_{(1)}$  and show that the posterior distribution depends only on  $(T_1, T_2)$ . Show that the family of priors

$$\pi(a|b) \propto e^{\alpha(a-\beta)/b} \mathbf{1}_{a < z_1}, \quad \pi(b) \propto b^{-m-1} e^{-c/b} \mathbf{1}_{b > 0}$$

is conjuguate.

5. Let  $X_i \stackrel{ind.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$ ,  $i \leq p$  and denote  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)^T$ . Consider the quadratic loss function.  $\sigma^2$  is known.

(a) Show that for all real functions  $h$  of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  continuously differentiable and satisfying

$$\forall j \quad \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(X) \right| \right) < +\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[h^2(X)] < +\infty$$

we have

$$\mathbb{E}(h(X)(X_j - \mu_j)) = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j}(X) \right)$$

(b) Find  $a$  such that

$$\tilde{\mu}_{JS} = \left( 1 - \frac{a}{\sum_j X_j^2} \right) X$$

dominates strictly the maximum likelihood estimator

(c) Denote  $\vec{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_p)$  the point defined by  $\mu_j = \mu$  if  $j \leq p_0$  and  $\mu_j = 0$  if  $j > p_0$  and show, using Jensen's inequality that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{\sum_j X_j^2}; \vec{\mu} \right] \geq \frac{1}{p\sigma^2 + \mu^2 p_0}$$

(d) Deduce that if  $p_0$  and  $\mu$  are fixed

$$\limsup_{p \rightarrow +\infty} R(\tilde{\mu}_{JS}, \vec{\mu}) < +\infty$$

(e) Why is shrinkage so important when  $p$  is large?

## Section C

6. Let  $X|\theta \sim f(\cdot|\theta)$  with  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\pi$  be an improper prior.

(a) Show that

$$m(x) = \int_{\Theta} f(x|\theta)\pi(\theta)d\theta$$

is improper as a measure on  $\mathcal{X}$ .

(b) Assume that  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  where  $\text{card}(\mathcal{X}) < +\infty$  i.e.  $\mathcal{X}$  is finite. Show that there exists  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  such that

$$\int_{\Theta} P[X = x|\theta]\pi(\theta)d\theta = +\infty$$

7. Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(\cdot|\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$  where  $f(\cdot|\theta)$  is a canonical exponential family

$$f(x|\theta) = w(x)e^{\theta^T B(x) - D(\theta)}, \quad \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

Let  $\pi$  be a prior density on  $\Theta$  with respect to Lebesgue measure.

(a) Let  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(\cdot|\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$  where  $f(\cdot|\theta)$  is a canonical exponential family

$$f(x|\theta) = w(x)e^{\theta^T B(x) - D(\theta)}, \quad \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$

Let  $\pi$  be a prior density on  $\Theta$  with respect to Lebesgue measure.

(b) Show that the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  depends only on  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n B(X_i)$ . Show that this result holds true outside exponential family if  $T_n$  is any sufficient statistics for  $\theta$ .

(c) Let  $E(a, b)$  be the distribution of the shifted exponential with density

$$\frac{1}{b}e^{-(x-a)/b}, \quad x > a$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}, b > 0$  are parameters. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the distribution  $E(a, b)$ .

- (i) If  $a$  is known, derive Jeffrey's prior on  $b$  and compute the posterior mean  $\hat{b}$ . Show that  $\tilde{b} = (n-1)\hat{b}/n$  is MVUE and attains the Cramer Rao lower bound.
- (ii) If  $a$  is known and a  $Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$  prior is chosen on  $1/b$ , find the posterior mean. Is it an MVUE? Compute the predictive distribution of  $X_{n+1}$ .
- (iii) If  $b$  is known and a prior density  $\pi_a$  on  $a$  is considered. Show that the posterior distribution on  $b$  depends only on  $X_{(1)} = \min X_i$ , compute the posterior mean associated with the prior  $\pi(a) \propto 1$ . Show that the posterior is defined and compute it.
- (iv) Show that for all  $X_{(1)}$  and all  $b > 0$   $\Pi(n|a - X_{(1)}| > z|X_1, \dots, X_n)$  goes to zero as  $z$  goes to infinity uniformly in  $n$ . Do we have a Bernstein von Mises property as described in the lectures? Compute frequentist risk of  $a$  and  $\hat{a}$  (the posterior mean) under the quadratic loss.
- (v) Suppose now that both  $a$  and  $b$  are unknown. Define  $T_1(X) = X_{(1)}$  and  $T_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - X_{(1)}$  and show that the posterior distribution depends only on  $(T_1, T_2)$ . Show that the family of priors

$$\pi(a|b) \propto e^{\alpha(a-\beta)/b} \mathbf{1}_{a < z_1}, \quad \pi(\mathbf{b}) \propto \mathbf{b}^{-m-1} e^{-c/b} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{b} > 0}$$

is conjugate.

Show that the posterior distribution of  $\theta$  depends only on  $T_n = \sum_{i=1}^n B(X_i)$ . Show that this result holds true outside exponential family if  $T_n$  is any sufficient statistics for  $\theta$ .