

P.4 Insurance and saving

Remember, only section B questions need to be handed in for marking.

- A.1. Consider an economy where each agent faces a statistically independent risk of losing 100 with probability p . A pool of N agents decide to create a mutual agreement where the aggregate loss in the pool will be equally split among its members.
- Describe the possible losses and associated probabilities for each member of the pool when $N = 2$ and $N = 3$.
 - Show that an increase in the pool size from 2 to 3 would be preferred by all members as long as they are risk averse
(Hint: Denoting \tilde{x} as the loss borne by a member of a pool with $N = 2$ and \tilde{y} as the loss borne by a member of a pool with $N = 3$, show that $\tilde{x} \sim \tilde{y} + \tilde{\varepsilon}$ for some white noise $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ where $\mathbb{E}[\tilde{\varepsilon}|\tilde{y}] = 0$.)
- B.1. An agent with current wealth X has the opportunity to bet any amount on the occurrence of an event that she believes will occur with probability $p \in (0, 1)$. If she wagers w , she will receive (the gross amount) $2w$ if the event occurs and 0 if it does not. Her utility function is given by $u(x) = -e^{-rx}$ with $r > 0$. How much should she wager?
- B.2. Arabella has a logarithmic utility function. She owns an asset with value £12 million, but which is subject to a potential loss of £8 million with probability $\frac{1}{4}$. Suppose that Arabella can purchase coinsurance with level $\beta \in [0, 1]$ and that insurance is priced with a loading of 0.2.
- What is the insurance premium if Arabella chooses $\beta = 1$?
 - Show that expected utility is concave in β and calculate the optimal value of β , denoted β^* .
 - Compute Arabella's expected utility for $\beta = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = \beta^*$.
 - What would happen to β^* if the loading fell to zero?
- B.3. Consider the standard portfolio problem with a zero return on the risk-free investment and a random return \tilde{x} on the risky investment.
- How do you react to the announcement that the return of the risky investment is not \tilde{x} , but rather \tilde{x} with probability q and 0 with probability $1 - q$?
 - How do you react to the announcement that you cannot directly invest in the risky project, but rather in a risky asset which is a portfolio containing a proportion q of the risky investment project, and a proportion $1 - q$ of the risk free investment project?

B.4. Consider a logarithmic investor ($u(z) = \ln z$) who can invest in a risk-free asset with return r and in a risky asset whose distribution of return is $(p, a; 1 - p, b)$ with $a < r < b$.

- Derive an analytical formula for the optimal demand for the risky asset
- Is it always finite?
- Examine the effect of change in wealth on the demand for the risky asset
- Examine the effect of an increase of the risk free rate on the demand for the risky asset
- Examine the effect of a change in a or b on the optimal demand.
- Show that an increase in b combined with a reduction of a that leaves the expected excess return unchanged reduces the demand.

B.5 Sally retires at $t = 0$ with total net worth of 1 and lives for a maximum of 2 periods. The probability of her still being alive at times 1 and 2 are $p_1 = 0.75$ and $p_2 = 0.4$ respectively. Sally has a logarithmic felicity function and subjectively discounts future utility with discount factor $1/(1 + \delta)$, where $\delta = 10\%$. At $t = 0$ she chooses c_1 and c_2 to maximise utility

$$U(c_1, c_2) = \frac{p_1}{1 + \delta} \ln(c_1) + \frac{p_2}{(1 + \delta)^2} \ln(c_2).$$

- Suppose that Sally can only invest her earnings in the risk free asset, which gives a rate of return of $i = 10\%$. Calculate her optimal consumption schedule (c_1^*, c_2^*) and utility $U(c_1^*, c_2^*)$. Is c_t^* increasing, decreasing or constant with t ? Why?
- Now suppose that she can purchase annuities whereby an investment of $c_t \frac{p_t}{(1+i)^t}$ at time 0 returns c_t at time t if Sally is still alive and zero otherwise. Calculate her new optimal consumption schedule (c_1^{**}, c_2^{**}) and utility $U(c_1^{**}, c_2^{**})$.
- Calculate c^* such that $U(c^*, c^*) = U(c_1^*, c_2^*)$ and compare with (c_1^{**}, c_2^{**}) .

C.1. Nya has preferences exhibiting CARA, and acts to maximise expected utility at the end of one year. She can choose to invest her initial wealth w_0 in a risk-free asset with return r and a risky-asset with excess return \tilde{y} , with $\mathbb{E}\tilde{y} > 0$. She chooses to invest half her wealth in the risky asset.

Lloyd has the same preferences as Nya, the same starting wealth, and has the same available assets, but faces a background risk. Assume the background risk $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is added to his wealth at the end of the year, has zero mean and is independent of \tilde{y} . Is Lloyd's optimal investment in the risky asset less than, the same as, or greater than Nya's?

C.2. An individual owns assets of value $W_0 = 10$, which may suffer a random loss \tilde{x} described by $(0, \frac{7}{10}; 4, \frac{1}{10}; 8, \frac{1}{10}; 10, \frac{1}{10})$.

- (a) Compute the actuarially fair premium for full insurance.
- (b) What is the actuarially fair premium when a deductible $D = 3$ is selected? What happens to the premium when $D = 6$? Why does the premium not fall by 50%?
- (c) For each deductible compute the coinsurance rate β that yields the same actuarially fair premium.
- (d) Draw the cumulative distribution function of final wealth first if $D = 6$ and then if the policy is characterized by the coinsurance rate β that yields the same premium as $D = 6$. By reference to the Rothschild-Stiglitz integral condition, show that the policy with a coinsurance rate induces a riskier distribution of final wealth.