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## Summary: PCA

## PCA

Find an orthogonal basis $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{p}\right\}$ for the data space such that:

- The first principal component (PC) $v_{1}$ is the direction of greatest variance of data.
- The $j$-th PC $v_{j}$ is the direction orthogonal to $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{j-1}$ of greatest variance, for $j=2, \ldots, p$.
- Eigendecomposition of the sample covariance matrix

$$
S=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}^{\top} .
$$

$$
S=V \Lambda V^{\top} .
$$

- $\Lambda$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues (variances along each principal component) $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$
- $V$ is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix whose columns are the $p$ eigenvectors of $S$, i.e. the principal components $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$
- Dimensionality reduction by projecting $x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ onto first $k$ principal components:

$$
z_{i}=\left[v_{1}^{\top} x_{i}, \ldots, v_{k}^{\top} x_{i}\right]^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}
$$

## Summary: PCA

$$
S=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{i}^{\top}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}
$$

- $S$ is a real and symmetric matrix, so there exist $p$ eigenvectors $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ that are pairwise orthogonal and $p$ associated eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ which satisfy the eigenvalue equation $S v_{i}=\lambda_{i} v_{i}$. In particular, $V$ is an orthogonal matrix:

$$
V V^{\top}=V^{\top} V=I_{p}
$$

- $S$ is a positive-semidefinite matrix, so the eigenvalues are non-negative:

$$
\lambda_{i} \geq 0, \forall i .
$$

Why is $S$ symmetric? Why is $S$ positive-semidefinite?
Reminder: A symmetric $p \times p$ matrix $R$ is said to be positive-semidefinite if

$$
\forall a \in \mathbb{R}^{p}, a^{\top} R a \geq 0
$$

## Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

SVD
Any real-valued $n \times p$ matrix $\mathbf{X}$ can be written as $X=U D V^{\top}$ where

- $U$ is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix: $U U^{\top}=U^{\top} U=I_{n}$
- $D$ is a $n \times p$ matrix with decreasing non-negative elements on the diagonal (the singular values) and zero off-diagonal elements.
- $V$ is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix: $V V^{\top}=V^{\top} V=I_{p}$
- SVD always exists, even for non-square matrices.
- Fast and numerically stable algorithms for SVD are available in most packages. The relevant $R$ command is svd.


## SVD and PCA

- Let $\mathbf{X}=U D V^{\top}$ be the SVD of the $n \times p$ data matrix $\mathbf{X}$.
- Note that

$$
(n-1) S=\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}=\left(U D V^{\top}\right)^{\top}\left(U D V^{\top}\right)=V D^{\top} U^{\top} U D V^{\top}=V D^{\top} D V^{\top},
$$

using orthogonality ( $U^{\top} U=I_{n}$ ) of $U$.

- The eigenvalues of $S$ are thus the diagonal entries of $\Lambda=\frac{1}{n-1} D^{\top} D$.
- We also have (using orthogonality $V^{\top} V=I_{p}$ )

$$
\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top}=\left(U D V^{\top}\right)\left(U D V^{\top}\right)^{\top}=U D V^{\top} V D^{\top} U^{\top}=U D D^{\top} U^{\top},
$$

## Gram matrix

$\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{X X}{ }^{\top}, \mathbf{B}_{i j}=x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}$ is called the Gram matrix of dataset $\mathbf{X}$. $\mathbf{B}$ and $(n-1) S=\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ have the same nonzero eigenvalues, equal to the non-zero squared singular values of $\mathbf{X}$.

Projection:

$$
\mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{X} V=U D V^{\top} V=U D
$$

Can be obtain by eigendecomposition of $\mathbf{B}$, less computation if $p>n$.

## Biplots

> biplot(Crabs.pca, scale=1)


- PCA plots show the data items (rows of $\mathbf{X}$ ) in the space spanned by PCs.
- Biplots allow us to visualize the original variables $X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(p)}$ (corresponding to columns of X ) in the same plot.


## Biplots

Recall that $X=\left[X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(p)}\right]^{\top}$ and $\mathbf{X}=U D V^{\top}$ is the SVD of the data matrix.

- The 'full' PC projection of $x_{i}$ is the $i$-th row of $U D$ :

$$
z_{i}=V^{\top} x_{i}=D^{\top} U_{i}^{\top}, \text { equivalently: } \mathbf{X} V=U D .
$$

- The $j$-th unit vector $\mathbf{e}_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ points in the direction of the original variable $X^{(j)}$. Its PC projection $\eta_{j}$ is:

$$
\eta_{j}=V^{\top} \mathbf{e}_{j}=V_{j}^{\top} \quad \text { (the } j \text {-th row of } V \text { ) }
$$

- The projection of $\mathbf{e}_{j}$ indicates the weighting each PC gives to the original variable $X^{(j)}$.
- Dot products between these projections give entries of the data matrix:

$$
x_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{\min \{n, p\}} U_{i k} D_{k k} V_{j k}=\left\langle D^{\top} U_{i}^{\top}, V_{j}^{\top}\right\rangle=\left\langle z_{i}, \eta_{j}\right\rangle .
$$

- Biplots focus on the first two PCs and the quality depends on the proportion of variance explained by the first two PCs.


## Iris Data

50 samples from each of the 3 species of iris: setosa, versicolor, and virginica

Each measuring the length and widths of both sepal and petals

Collected by E. Anderson (1935) and analysed by R.A. Fisher (1936)


## Iris Data



## Iris data biplot

```
> iris.pca<-princomp(iris[,-5],cor=TRUE)
```

> biplot(iris.pca, scale=0)


## Biplots

- There are other projections we can consider for biplots (assuming $p<n$ to simplify notation):

$$
x_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} U_{i k} D_{k k} V_{j k}=\left\langle D_{1: p, 1: p}^{\top} U_{i, 1: p}^{\top}, V_{j}^{\top}\right\rangle=\left\langle D_{1: p, 1: p}^{1-\alpha} U_{i, 1: p}^{\top}, D_{1: p, 1: p}^{\alpha} V_{j}^{\top}\right\rangle
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, i.e., we change representation to

$$
\tilde{z}_{i}=D_{1: p, 1: p}^{1-\alpha} U_{i, 1: p}^{\top}, \tilde{\eta}_{j}=D_{1: p, 1: p}^{\alpha} V_{j}^{\top}
$$

- case $\alpha=1$ :
- Sample covariance of the projected points is:

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}(\tilde{Z})=\frac{1}{n-1} U_{1: n, 1: p}^{\top} U_{1: n, 1: p}=\frac{1}{n-1} I_{p} .
$$

Projected points are uncorrelated and dimensions are equi-variance.

- Sample covariance between $X^{(i)}$ and $X^{(j)}$ is:

$$
\hat{\mathbb{E}}\left(X^{(i)} X^{(j)}\right)=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(V D^{\top} D V^{\top}\right)_{i, j}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left\langle D_{1: p, 1: p} V_{i}^{\top}, D_{1: p, 1: p} V_{j}^{\top}\right\rangle
$$

The angle between the projected variables maps to their correlation.

## Iris Data biplot - scaled

> ?biplot
scale: The variables are scaled by lambda ^ scale and the observations are scaled by lambda ^ (1-scale) where lambda are the singular values as computed by princomp. (default=1)
> biplot(iris.pca,scale=1)


## Crabs Data biplots

```
> biplot(Crabs.pca,scale=0)
> biplot(Crabs.pca,scale=1)
```




## US Arrests Data

This data set contains statistics, in arrests per 100,000 residents for assault, murder, and rape in each of the 50 US states in 1973. Also given is the percent of the population living in urban areas.

```
pairs(USArrests)
usarrests.pca <- princomp(USArrests,cor=T)
plot(usarrests.pca)
pairs(predict(usarrests.pca))
biplot(usarrests.pca)
```


## US Arrests Data Pairs Plot

```
> pairs(USArrests)
```



## US Arrests Data Biplot

```
> biplot(usarrests.pca, scale=1)
```



## Multidimensional Scaling

Suppose there are $n$ points $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, but we are only given the $n \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{D}$ of inter-point distances.

Can we reconstruct $\mathbf{X}$ ?


## Multidimensional Scaling

Rigid transformations (translations, rotations and reflections) do not change inter-point distances so cannot recover X exactly. However X can be recovered up to these transformations!

- Let $d_{i j}=\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{2}$ be the distance between points $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{i j}^{2} & =\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{\top}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right) \\
& =x_{i}^{\top} x_{i}+x_{j}^{\top} x_{j}-2 x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Let $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{X X}{ }^{\top}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix of dot-products, $b_{i j}=x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}$. The above shows that $\mathbf{D}$ can be computed from $\mathbf{B}$.
- Some algebraic exercise shows that B can be recovered from $\mathbf{D}$ if we assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=0$.


## Multidimensional Scaling

- If we knew $\mathbf{X}$, then $\operatorname{SVD}$ gives $\mathbf{X}=U D V^{\top}$. As $\mathbf{X}$ has rank at most $r=\min (n, p)$, we have at most $r$ non-zero singular values in $D$ and we can assume $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$ and $V^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times p}$.
- The eigendecomposition of $\mathbf{B}$ is then:

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\top}=U D^{2} U^{\top}=U \Lambda U^{\top} .
$$

- This eigendecomposition can be obtained from $\mathbf{B}$ without knowing $\mathbf{X}$ !
- Let $\tilde{x}_{i}^{\top}=U_{i} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathbb{R}^{r}$. If $r<p$, pad $\tilde{x}_{i}$ with 0 s so that it has length $p$. Then,

$$
\tilde{x}_{i}^{\top} \tilde{x}_{j}=U_{i} \Lambda U_{j}^{\top}=b_{i j}=x_{i}^{\top} x_{j}
$$

and we have found a set of vectors with dot-products given by $\mathbf{B}$, as desired.

- The vectors $\tilde{x}_{i}$ differs from $x_{i}$ only via the orthogonal matrix $V^{\top}$ (recall that $x_{i}^{\top}=U_{i} D V^{\top}=\tilde{x}_{i}^{\top} V^{\top}$ ) so are equivalent up to rotation and reflections.


## US City Flight Distances

We present a table of flying mileages between 10 American cities, distances calculated from our 2-dimensional world. Using $D$ as the starting point, metric MDS finds a configuration with the same distance matrix.

| ATLA | CHIG | DENV | HOUS | LA | MIAM | NY | SF | SEAT | DC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 587 | 1212 | 701 | 1936 | 604 | 748 | 2139 | 2182 | 543 |
| 587 | 0 | 920 | 940 | 1745 | 1188 | 713 | 1858 | 1737 | 597 |
| 1212 | 920 | 0 | 879 | 831 | 1726 | 1631 | 949 | 1021 | 1494 |
| 701 | 940 | 879 | 0 | 1374 | 968 | 1420 | 1645 | 1891 | 1220 |
| 1936 | 1745 | 831 | 1374 | 0 | 2339 | 2451 | 347 | 959 | 2300 |
| 604 | 1188 | 1726 | 968 | 2339 | 0 | 1092 | 2594 | 2734 | 923 |
| 748 | 713 | 1631 | 1420 | 2451 | 1092 | 0 | 2571 | 2408 | 205 |
| 2139 | 1858 | 949 | 1645 | 347 | 2594 | 2571 | 0 | 678 | 2442 |
| 2182 | 1737 | 1021 | 1891 | 959 | 2734 | 2408 | 678 | 0 | 2329 |
| 543 | 597 | 1494 | 1220 | 2300 | 923 | 205 | 2442 | 2329 | 0 |

## US City Flight Distances

```
library(MASS)
us <- read.csv("http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~palamara/teaching/SML19/uscities.csv")
## use classical MDS to find lower dimensional views of the data
## recover X in 2 dimensions
us.classical <- cmdscale(d=us,k=2)
plot(us.classical)
text(us.classical,labels=names(us))
```


## US City Flight Distances



## Lower-dimensional Reconstructions

In classical MDS derivation, we used all eigenvalues in the eigendecomposition of $\mathbf{B}$ to reconstruct

$$
\tilde{x}_{i}=U_{i} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

We can use only the largest $k<\min (n, p)$ eigenvalues and eigenvectors in the reconstruction, giving the 'best' $k$-dimensional view of the data.

This is analogous to PCA, where only the largest eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}$ are used, and the smallest ones effectively suppressed.

Indeed, PCA and classical MDS are duals and yield effectively the same result.

## Crabs Data

```
library(MASS)
crabs$spsex=paste(crabs$sp,crabs$sex,sep="")
varnames<-c('FL','RW','CL',' CW',' BD')
Crabs <- crabs[,varnames]
Crabs.class <- factor(crabs$spsex)
crabsmds <- cmdscale(d= dist(Crabs),k=2)
plot(crabsmds, pch=20, cex=2,col=unclass(Crabs.class))
```



## Crabs Data

Compare with previous PCA analysis. Classical MDS solution corresponds to the first 2 PCs.


## Varieties of MDS

Generally, MDS is a class of dimensionality reduction techniques which represents data points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ in a lower-dimensional space $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ which tries to preserve inter-point (dis)similarities.

- It requires only the matrix $\mathbf{D}$ of pairwise dissimilarities $d_{i j}=d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$. For example, we can use Euclidean distance $d_{i j}=\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{2}$, but other dissimilarities are possible.
- MDS finds representations $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ such that

$$
\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2} \approx d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=d_{i j}
$$

and differences in dissimilarities are measured by the appropriate loss
$\Delta\left(d_{i j},\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2}\right)$.

- Goal: Find $\mathbf{Z}$ which minimizes the stress function

$$
S(\mathbf{Z})=\sum_{i \neq j} \Delta\left(d_{i j},\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2}\right) .
$$

## Varieties of MDS

- Choices of (dis)similarities and stress functions $S(\mathbf{Z})$ lead to different algorithms.
- Classical/Torgerson: preserves inner products instead - strain function (cmdscale)

$$
S(\mathbf{Z})=\sum_{i \neq j}\left(b_{i j}-\left\langle z_{i}-\bar{z}, z_{j}-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right)^{2}
$$

- Metric Shephard-Kruskal: preserves distances w.r.t. squared stress

$$
S(\mathbf{Z})=\sum_{i \neq j}\left(d_{i j}-\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}
$$

- Sammon: preserves shorter distances more (sammon)

$$
S(\mathbf{Z})=\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\left(d_{i j}-\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}}{d_{i j}}
$$

- Non-Metric Shephard-Kruskal: ignores actual distance values, only preserves ranks (isomDS)

$$
S(\mathbf{Z})=\min _{g \text { increasing }} \frac{\sum_{i \neq j}\left(g\left(d_{i j}\right)-\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i \neq j}\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}}
$$

## Example: Language data

## Presence or absence of 2867 homologous traits in 87 Indo-European languages.

```
> X<-read.table("http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~palamara/teaching/SML19/cognate.txt")
> X[1:15,1:16]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & V1 & V2 & V3 & V4 & V5 & V6 & V7 & V8 & V9 & V10 & V11 & V12 & V13 & V14 & V15 & 16 \\
\hline Irish_A & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Irish_B & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Welsh_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Welsh_C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Breton_List & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Breton_SE & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Breton_ST & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Romanian_List & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Vlach & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Italian & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Ladin & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Provencal & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline French & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline Walloon & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline French_Creole_C & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```


## Example: Language data

## Using MDS with non-metric (Sammon) scaling.



## Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction

Two aims of different varieties of MDS:

- To visualize the (dis)similarities among items in a dataset, where these (dis)disimilarities may not have Euclidean geometric interpretations.
- To perform nonlinear dimensionality reduction.

Many high-dimensional datasets exhibit low-dimensional structure ("live on a low-dimensional menifold").

high-dim distribution

high-dim samples

estimated manifold

## Isomap

Isomap is a non-linear dimensional reduction technique based on classical MDS. Differs from other MDSs as it uses estimates of geodesic distances between the data points.


Tenenbaum et al. (2000)

## Isomap

## Isomap

- Calculate Euclidean distances $\mathbf{D}_{i j}$ for $i, j=1, \ldots, n$ between all data points.
- Form a graph $G$ with $n$ samples as nodes, and edges between the respective $K$ nearest neighbours ( $K$-Isomap) or between $i$ and $j$ if $\mathbf{D}_{i j}<\epsilon$ ( $\epsilon$-Isomap).
- For $i, j$ linked by an edge, set $\mathbf{D}_{i j}^{G}=\mathbf{D}_{i j}$. Otherwise, set $\mathbf{D}_{i j}^{G}$ to be the shortest-path distance between $i$ and $j$ in $G$.
- Run classical MDS using distances $\mathbf{D}_{i j}^{G}$.



$\mathbf{R}$ function: isomap \{vegan $\}$.


## Faces



## Handwritten Characters



