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Denote by $P_{i, j}^{\prime}=\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t-1}=j \mid X_{t}=i\right)$ the transition matrix for the time-reversed chain.

It seems clear that a Markov chain will be reversible if and only if $P=P^{\prime}$, so that any particular transition occurs with equal probability in forward and reverse directions.

## Theorem.

(I) If there is a probability mass function $\pi(i), i \in \Omega$ satisfying $\pi(i) \geq 0, \sum_{i \in \Omega} \pi(i)=1$ and
"Detailed balance": $\pi(i) P_{i, j}=\pi(j) P_{j, i} \quad$ for all pairs $i, j \in \Omega$, then $\pi=\pi P$ so $\pi$ is stationary for $P$.
(II) If in addition $p^{(0)}=\pi$ then $P^{\prime}=P$ and the chain is reversible with respect to $\pi$.

Proof of (I): sum both sides of detailed balance equation over $i \in \Omega$. Now $\sum_{i} P_{j, i}=1$ so $\sum_{i} \pi(i) P_{i, j}=\pi(j)$.

Proof of (II), we have $\pi$ a stationary distribution of $P$ so $\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}=\right.$ $i)=\pi(i)$ for all $t=1,2, \ldots$ along the chain. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{i, j}^{\prime} & =\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t-1}=j \mid X_{t}=i\right) \\
& =\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}=i \mid X_{t-1}=j\right) \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t-1}=j\right)}{\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t}=i\right)} \text { (Bayes rule) } \\
& =P_{j, i} \pi(j) / \pi(i) \text { (stationarity) } \\
& =P_{i, j} \text { (detailed balance). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Convergence and the Ergodic Theorem

If the (finite state space) $M C$ is irreducible and aperiodic then the stationary distribution is unique and $p^{(t)} \rightarrow \pi$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. If we simulate the $\mathrm{MC} X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots X_{n}$ to large enough $n$ from any start $X_{0}=x_{0}$ then since $X_{t} \sim p^{t}$ and $p^{t} \simeq \pi$ at large $t$, 'most' of the samples are 'nearly' distributed according to $\pi$.

We will use $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{n-1}$ to estimate $\mathbb{E}_{p}(\phi(X))$. The 'obvious' estimator is

$$
\hat{\phi}_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(X_{t}\right)
$$

but the $X_{t}$ are correlated and only converge in distribution to $\pi$.

Theorem. If $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain on a finite space of states $\Omega$, with stationary distribution $\pi$ then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for any bounded function $\phi: \Omega \rightarrow R$,

$$
P\left(X_{n}=i\right) \rightarrow \pi(i) \text { and } \hat{\phi}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{p}(\phi(X))
$$

We refer to such a chain as ergodic with equilibrium $\pi$.

In Part A Probability the Ergodic theorem asks for positive recurrent $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ It is simpler here because we are assuming a finite state space for the Markov chain.

We would like a CLT for $\hat{\phi}_{n}$, and confidence intervals $\pm \sqrt{\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{\phi}_{n}\right)}$. A CLT does hold for all the examples discussed later but this is a little beyond us at this point.

## The Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm

The Metropolis-Hastings (MH) algorithm determines a Markov Chain ergodic for your given target distribution ( $p$ say).

MCMC is an algorithm for simulating $X_{t+1}$ given $X_{t}$. The algorithm determines the transition probabilities $P\left(X_{t+1}=j \mid X_{t}=\right.$ $i$ ) and the transition matrix $P$. We have to choose the algorithm so that the $M C$ is ergodic for $p$. The transition matrix it simulates should satisfy $p P=p$.

Let $p(x)=\tilde{p}(x) / Z_{p}$ be the pmf on finite state space $\Omega=$ $\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$. We will call $p$ the (pmf of the) target distribution.

To set things up, first choose a 'proposal' transition matrix $q(y \mid x)$ which is simple to simulate, easy to calculate, irreducible, and satisfying $q(x \mid y)>0 \Rightarrow q(y \mid x)>0$.

Claim: The following algorithm simulates a Markov chain. If the the chain is irreducible and aperiodic then it is ergodic with equilibrium distribution $p$.

Let $X_{t}=x . X_{t+1}$ is determined in the following way.
[1] Draw $y \sim q(\cdot \mid x)$ and $u \sim U[0,1]$.
[2] If

$$
u \leq \alpha(y \mid x) \text { where } \alpha(y \mid x)=\min \left\{1, \frac{\tilde{p}(y) q(x \mid y)}{\tilde{p}(x) q(y \mid x)}\right\}
$$

set $X_{t+1}=y$, otherwise set $X_{t+1}=x$.

We initialise this with $X_{0}=x_{0}, p\left(x_{0}\right)>0$ and iterate for $t=$ $1,2,3, \ldots n$ to simulate the samples $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots X_{n}$ we need.

Proof: By the ergodic theorem it is sufficient to show that the Markov chain determined by the random MCMC update has $p$ as a stationary distribution.

We will compute the transition matrix $P$ and show it satisfies detailed balance,

$$
P_{x, y} p(x)=P_{y, x} p(y)
$$

since that implies $p=p P$.
We don't need to calculate $P_{x, y}$ when $x=y$ as DB is clear. Suppose $y \neq x$. If $X_{t}=x$, then the probability $P_{x, y}$ to move to $X_{t+1}=y$ at the next step is the probability to propose $y$ at step 1 times the probability to accept it at step 2, so

$$
P_{x, y}=P\left(X_{t+1}=y \mid X_{t}=x\right)=q(y \mid x) \alpha(y \mid x)
$$

Now check DB:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(x) P_{x, y} & =p(x) q(y \mid x) \alpha(y \mid x) \\
& =p(x) q(y \mid x) \min \left\{1, \frac{p(y) q(x \mid y)}{p(x) q(y \mid x)}\right\} \\
& =\min \{p(x) q(y \mid x), p(y) q(x \mid y)\} \\
& \left.=p(y) q(x \mid y) \min \left\{\frac{p(x) q(y \mid x)}{p(y) q(x \mid y)}, 1\right)\right\} \\
& =p(y) q(x \mid y) \alpha(x \mid y) \\
& =p(y) P_{y, x}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we are done.

Example: Simulating a Discrete Distribution
Let $p(i)=i / Z_{p}$ with $Z_{p}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} i$.
Give a MH MCMC algorithm ergodic for $p(i), i=1,2, \ldots, m$.
Step 1: Choose a proposal distribution $q(j \mid i)$. It needs to be easy to simulate and determine a irreversible chain.

A simple distribution that 'will do' is $Y \sim U\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$, so

$$
q(i)=1 / m, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

This proposal scheme is clearly irreducible (we can get from $A$ to $B$ in a single hop) and satisfies $q(x \mid y)>0 \Rightarrow q(y \mid x)>0$ (since $q$ is constant).

Step 2: write down the algorithm.

If $X_{t}=x$, then $X_{t+1}$ is determined in the following way.
[1] Simulate $y \sim U\{1,2, \ldots, m\}$ and $u \sim U[0,1]$.
[2] If

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & \leq \min \left\{1, \frac{\tilde{p}(y) q(x \mid y)}{\tilde{p}(x) q(y \mid x)}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{1, \frac{y}{x}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

set $X_{t+1}=y$, otherwise set $X_{t+1}=x$.

```
#MCMC simulate X_t according to p=[1:m]/sum(1:m).
m<-30
n<-10000; X<-rep(NA,n); X[1]<-1
for (t in 1:(n-1)) {
    x<-X[t]
    y<-ceiling(m*runif(1))
    a<-min(1,y/x)
    U<-runif(1)
    if (U<=a) {
        X[t+1]<-y
    } else {
        X[t+1]<-x
    }
}
```

Left: $x$-axis is Markov chain step counter $t=1,2,3 \ldots 200$ and $y$-axis is Markov chain state $X_{t}$ for $\tilde{p}(i)=i, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, $m=30$.

Right: histogram of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ for $n=1000$.



Example: Simulating a Poisson Distribution

We want to simulate $p(x)=e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x} / x!\propto \lambda^{x} / x!$

Step 1: Define the proposal. We want something simple to simulate with a simple density. We use

$$
q(y \mid x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} & \text { for } y=x \pm 1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

i.e. toss a coin and add or subtract 1 to $x$ to obtain $y$.

Step 2: Write down the algorithm, giving the acceptance probability $\alpha(y \mid x)$ in closed form.

If $X_{t}=x$, then $X_{t+1}$ is determined in the following way.
[1] Simulate $y \sim U\{x-1, x+1\}$ and $u \sim U[0,1]$.
[2] The acceptance probability is $\alpha(y \mid x)=\min \left\{1, \frac{\tilde{p}(y) q(x \mid y)}{\tilde{p}(x) q(y \mid x)}\right\}$.
If $y=-1$ then $\alpha=0$. Otherwise,

$$
\alpha(y \mid x)= \begin{cases}\min \left(1, \frac{\lambda}{x+1}\right) & \text { if } y=x+1 \\ \min \left(1, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) & \text { if } y=x-1\end{cases}
$$

If $u \leq \alpha(y \mid x)$, set $X_{t+1}=y$, otherwise set $X_{t+1}=x$.

MCMC for state spaces which are not finite Does this work for continuous rv? Computers use the "computer measure". The reals are discretised.

Let $x^{*}$ be the computer truncation of $x$ and $\delta x=\left\{y: x^{*}=x\right\}$. The length $|\delta x|$ of the cell containing $x$ is not constant. Roughly $|\delta x| / x \simeq 10^{-15} . \pi(x)$ is approximated by $[\pi(x)]^{*}$.

The Hastings ratio we compute is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{[\tilde{p}(y)]^{*}[q(x \mid y)]^{*}}{[\tilde{p}(x)]^{*}[q(y \mid x)]^{*}} & =\frac{[p(y)]^{*}|\delta y|[q(x \mid y)]^{*}|\delta x|}{[p(x)]^{*}|\delta x|[q(y \mid x)]^{*}|\delta y|} \\
& \simeq \frac{\operatorname{Pr}(Y \in \delta y) \operatorname{Pr}(X \in \delta x \mid Y=y)}{\operatorname{Pr}(X \in \delta x) \operatorname{Pr}(Y \in \delta y \mid X=x)}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\operatorname{Pr}(X \in \delta x) \simeq[p(x)]^{*}|\delta x|$ etc. If we apply this to densities, we simulate the approximate distribution. Our discussion of Markov chains on finite spaces is relevant.

## MCMC for the Normal distribution

Suppose want to simulate the standard normal distribution $X \sim$ $N(0,1)$. The target density is

$$
\tilde{p}(x) \propto \exp \left(-x^{2} / 2\right)
$$

Step 1: Choose the proposal distribution. We need something simple and irreducible. Fix a constant $a>0$ and choose a new point uniformly at random in a window of length $2 a$ centred at $x$. The proposal density is

$$
q(y \mid x)=\frac{1}{2 a} \mathbb{I}_{x-a<y<x+a}
$$

Notice that $q(y \mid x)=q(x \mid y)$.

Step 2: give the MCMC algorithm. If $X_{t}=x$ then $X_{t+1}$ is determined in the following way:
[1] Simulate $Y \sim U(x-a, x+a)$ and $U \sim U(0,1)$.
[2] If $U \leq \alpha(y \mid x)$ set $X_{t+1}=y$ and otherwise set $X_{t+1}=x$. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(y \mid x) & =\min \left(1, \frac{\tilde{p}(y) q(x \mid y)}{\tilde{p}(x) q(y \mid x)}\right) \\
& =\min \left(1, \exp \left(-y^{2} / 2+x^{2} / 2\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

```
#MCMC simulate X_t ~ N(0,1)
a=3; n=2000
X=numeric(n); X[1]=0;
for (t in 1:(n-1)) {
    x<-X[t]
    y<-x+(2*runif(1)-1)*a
    if (runif(1)<exp((x^2-y^2)/2)) {
        X[t+1]<-y
    } else {
        X[t+1]<-x
    }
}
```

(see the associated R-file for plotting commands)


MH example: an equal mixture of bivariate normals
$\pi(\theta)=(2 \pi)^{-1}\left(0.5 e^{-\left(\theta-\mu_{1}\right) \Sigma_{1}^{-1}\left(\theta-\mu_{1}\right) / 2}+0.5 e^{-\left(\theta-\mu_{2}\right) \Sigma_{2}^{-1}\left(\theta-\mu_{2}\right) / 2}\right)$
with $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Use $\mu_{1}=(1,1)^{T}, \mu_{2}=(5,5)^{T}$ and $\Sigma_{1}=$ $\Sigma_{2}=I_{2}$ for this illustration.

Step 1. For a proposal distribution $q$ we want something simple to sample. The simplest thing I can think of is the same as before:

$$
\theta_{i}^{\prime} \sim U\left(\theta_{i}-a, \theta_{i}+a\right)
$$

with $a$ a fixed constant. Note that this time we are proposing in a box of side $2 a$. That is easy to sample, and certainly $q\left(\theta^{\prime} \mid \theta\right)>$ $0 \Leftrightarrow q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{\prime}\right)>0$ since $q\left(\theta^{\prime} \mid \theta\right)=q\left(\theta \mid \theta^{\prime}\right)=1 / 4 a^{2}$.

Step 2. The algorithm is, given $\theta^{(n)}=\theta$,
[1] for $i=1,2$ simulate $\theta_{i}^{\prime} \sim U\left(\theta_{i}-a, \theta_{i}+a\right)$
[2] with probability

$$
\alpha\left(\theta^{\prime} \mid \theta\right)=\min \left\{1, \frac{\pi\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}{\pi(\theta)}\right\}
$$

set $\theta^{(n+1)}=\theta^{\prime}$ otherwise set $\theta^{(n+1)}=\theta$.

This algorithm is ergodic for any $a>0$ but we will see that the choice of $a$ makes a difference to efficiency.

```
#MCMC simulate X_t according to a mixture of normals
f<-function(x,mu1,mu2,S1i,S2i,p1=0.5) {
    #mixture of normals, density up to constant factor
    c1<-exp(-t (x-mu1)%*%S1i%*%(x-mu1))
    c2<-exp(-t(x-mu2)%*%S2i%*%(x-mu2))
    return(p1*c1+(1-p1)*c2)
}
a=3; n=2000
mu1=c(1,1); mu2=c(5,5); S=diag(2); S1i=S2i=solve(S);
X=matrix(NA,2,n); X[,1]=x=mu1
for (t in 1:(n-1)) {
    y<-x+(2*runif(2)-1)*a
    MHR<-f(y,mu1,mu2,S1i,S2i)/f(x,mu1,mu2,S1i,S2i)
    if (runif(1)<MHR) x<-y
    X[,t+1]<-x
}
```

(see the associated R-file for plotting commands)



