

This sheet is designed to revise some bits from previous courses that will be particularly useful for Graphical Models.

1. Sufficient Statistics.

Let $X_i \sim \text{Binom}(n_i, \theta)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ be independent binomial random variables with known sizes n_i , and unknown $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

- Write down the log-likelihood for θ , and find a sufficient statistic.
- Find the MLE for θ and its asymptotic distribution.
- What would constitute a conjugate prior for θ ?
- Suppose you have $n_1 = n_2 = 100$, and data $X_1 = 48$, $X_2 = 52$. Build a confidence interval for θ using your answer to (b).
- Now suppose $X_1 = 10$ and $X_2 = 90$. How does your answer differ?

2. Conditional Distributions.

Suppose that X, W are independent $\text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ random variables. Define $Y = X + W$. Find the joint density of X and Y . Are X and Y independent?

Find the conditional density of X given Y .

3. Conditional Events

Let X, Y , and Z be discrete random variables taking values in the sets $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$.

- Write down and briefly justify the law of total probability for discrete random variables X and Y .
- Prove Bayes' Formula:

$$P(Y = y | X = x) = \frac{P(X = x | Y = y) \cdot P(Y = y)}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} P(X = x | Y = y') \cdot P(Y = y')}.$$

- Express $P(Z = z)$ in terms of probabilities of the form $P(X = x), P(Y = y | X = x), P(Z = z | X = x, Y = y)$. In terms of the sizes of the sets $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}$, how many calculations (additions, subtractions, multiplications, divisions) are required to evaluate it for all $z \in \mathcal{Z}$?
- What difference does it make if $P(Z = z | X = x, Y = y) = P(Z = z | Y = y)$?

4. Contingency Tables.

Let (X_i, Y_i, Z_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n$ be i.i.d. vectors of categorical variables such that $P(X = x, Y = y, Z = z) = \pi_{xyz}$. Define

$$n_{xyz} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_i = x, Y_i = y, Z_i = z\}.$$

The array $(n_{xyz})_{x,y,z}$ is called a *contingency table* (see Part A Stats).

- Write down the likelihood for $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_{xyz})_{x,y,z}$.

(b) We say X is *conditionally independent* of Y given Z if we can write

$$P(X = x, Y = y, Z = z) = P(Z = z) \cdot P(X = x | Z = z) \cdot P(Y = y | Z = z)$$

for all x, y, z . Show that, the MLE of π under this restriction is

$$\hat{\pi}_{xyz} = \frac{n_{x+z} \cdot n_{+yz}}{n_{++z} \cdot n},$$

where, for example, $n_{x+z} = \sum_y n_{xyz}$. [Hint: this is similar to the two-dimensional independence case from Part A stats.]

5. Multivariate Normal Distributions.

[This is harder, but do-able.]

Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a $p \times p$ -matrix and $C \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$; let $D = \{1, \dots, p\} \setminus C$. We say that

$$M_{DD \cdot C} \equiv M_{DD} - M_{DC}(M_{CC})^{-1}M_{CD}$$

is the *Schur complement* of M with respect to C , and its entries are

$$m_{ij \cdot C} \equiv m_{ij} - M_{iC}(M_{CC})^{-1}M_{Cj} \quad \text{for } i, j \in D.$$

Now let $X_V \sim N_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ have a multivariate normal distribution, meaning that it has Lebesgue density

$$f(x_V; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}(\det \Sigma)^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(x_V - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x_V - \mu) \right\}, \quad x_V \in \mathbb{R}^p,$$

for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and a symmetric positive definite matrix Σ .

(a) Let Σ be partitioned as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{CC} & \Sigma_{CD} \\ \Sigma_{DC} & \Sigma_{DD} \end{pmatrix}$$

with $|C| = p_1$, $|D| = p_2$. Show that

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{CC \cdot D}^{-1} & -\Sigma_{CC \cdot D}^{-1} \Sigma_{CD} \Sigma_{DD}^{-1} \\ -\Sigma_{DD}^{-1} \Sigma_{DC} \Sigma_{CC \cdot D}^{-1} & \Sigma_{DD}^{-1} + \Sigma_{DD}^{-1} \Sigma_{DC} \Sigma_{CC \cdot D}^{-1} \Sigma_{CD} \Sigma_{DD}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

[Note that Σ_{DD}^{-1} means $(\Sigma_{DD})^{-1}$.]

(b) By considering the terms in the density which depend upon x_C , show that

$$X_C | X_D = x_D \sim N_{p_1}(\mu_C + \Sigma_{CD} \Sigma_{DD}^{-1}(x_D - \mu_D), \Sigma_{CC \cdot D}).$$

where $\Sigma_{CC \cdot D} = \Sigma_{CC} - \Sigma_{CD} \Sigma_{DD}^{-1} \Sigma_{DC}$.

(c) Hence show that the marginal distribution $X_D \sim N_{p_2}(\mu_D, \Sigma_{DD})$.