## Advanced Simulation - Lecture 10

#### Patrick Rebeschini

February 14th, 2018

Patrick Rebeschini

• Often we have various possible models for the same dataset.

■ Sometimes there's an infinity of possible models!

■ How to choose between models?

Green (1995), Reversible Jump Markov chain Monte Carlo and Bayesian model determination.

## Motivation: Bayesian model choice

- Assume we have a collection of models  $\mathcal{M}_k$  for  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ .
- With data we can learn parameters given each model  $\mathcal{M}_k$ , but we can also learn about the models.
- Put a prior on models  $\mathcal{M}_k$ . Within each model, prior  $p(\theta_k \mid \mathcal{M}_k)$  on the parameters.
- Joint posterior distribution of interest:

$$\pi(\mathcal{M}_k, \theta_k \mid y) = \pi(\mathcal{M}_k \mid y)\pi(\theta_k \mid y, \mathcal{M}_k)$$

which is defined on

$$\cup_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\{\mathcal{M}_k\}\times\Theta_k\equiv\cup_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\{k\}\times\Theta_k.$$

#### Polynomial regression

• Data  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$  where  $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Polynomial regression model

$$\mathcal{M}_{k}: y = \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_{j} x^{j}}_{=f(x;\beta)} + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right).$$

• If k is too large then

$$f\left(x;\widehat{\beta}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \widehat{\beta}_j x^j$$

where  $\hat{\beta} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, ..., \hat{\beta}_k)$  is the MLE, will overfit.

#### Polynomial regression



Figure: As order of the model M = k increases, we overfit.

# Bayesian polynomial regression

• We select  $k \in \{0, ..., M_{\max}\}$  and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{M}_{k}\right) = p_{k} = \frac{1}{M_{\max} + 1}$$

with 
$$\Theta_k = \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}^+$$
  
 $p_k\left(\beta, \sigma^2\right) = \mathcal{N}\left(\beta; 0, \sigma^2 I_{k+1}\right) \mathcal{IG}\left(\sigma^2; 1, 1\right).$ 

■ In this case, we have analytic expression for

$$p_{k}(y_{1:n}) = \int_{\Theta_{k}} p_{k}\left(\beta,\sigma^{2}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}\left(y_{i};f\left(x_{i};\beta\right),\sigma^{2}\right) d\beta d\sigma^{2}.$$

Bayesian model selection automatically prevents overfitting.

## Bayesian Polynomial regression



Figure:  $f(x;\beta)$  for random draws from  $p_M(\beta|y_{1:n})$  and evidence  $p_M(y_{1:n})$ .

• Assume the observations  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  come from

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \sigma_k^2)$$

with  $\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_k = 1$ . For any fixed K, the parameters to infer are  $(p_1, \ldots, p_{K-1}, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_K, \sigma_1^2, \ldots, \sigma_K^2)$  of dimension 3K - 1.

- But what about inference on K?
- We can put a prior on K, e.g. a Poisson distribution.
- How do we get the posterior?

# Sampling in transdimensional spaces

- Consider a collection of models  $\mathcal{M}_k$ , for  $k \in \mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{N}$ .
- We want to design a Markov chain taking values in  $\bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \{k\} \times \Theta_k$ , with the correct joint posterior.
- Reversible jump MCMC is a generalized Metropolis-Hastings using a mixture of kernels.
- For each k, standard MH kernel from  $\{k\} \times \Theta_k$  to  $\{k\} \times \Theta_k$ , i.e. standard within-model moves.
- How to move from  $\{k\} \times \Theta_k$  to  $\{k'\} \times \Theta_{k'}$ ?

We can propose k' from q(k' | k). Then we need to propose a move from  $\Theta_k$  to  $\Theta_{k'}$ , of dimension  $d_k$  and  $d_{k'}$ .

**dimension matching**: extend the spaces with auxiliary variables.

• Introduce  $u_{k\to k'}$  and  $u_{k'\to k}$  with distributions  $\varphi_{k\to k'}$  and  $\varphi_{k'\to k}$  respectively, and such that

$$d_k + \dim(u_{k \to k'}) = d_{k'} + \dim(u_{k' \to k}).$$

Given  $\theta_k$ , we sample  $u_{k \to k'} \sim \varphi_{k \to k'}$  and then apply a deterministic mapping to get

$$(\theta_{k'}, u_{k' \to k}) = G_{k \to k'}(\theta_k, u_{k \to k'}).$$

- The distributions  $\varphi$  are arbitrary and  $G_{k \to k'}$  has to be a diffeomorphism.
- We now have our proposal from  $\Theta_k$  to  $\Theta_{k'}$ . With what probability do we accept it?

## Transdimensional moves

- Mimicking Metropolis-Hastings, given x we propose a point x' and accept or not with probability  $\alpha(x \to x')$ .
- We want P to be such that, for all A, B:

$$\int_{x,x'\in A\times B} \pi(dx)P(x\to dx') = \int_{x,x'\in A\times B} \pi(dx')P(x'\to dx)$$

or equivalently

$$\int_{x,x'\in A\times B} \pi(dx)q(x\to dx')\alpha(x\to x')$$
$$=\int_{x,x'\in A\times B} \pi(dx')q(x'\to dx)\alpha(x'\to x)$$

- Subtle point:  $\pi(dx)P(x, dx')$  does not necessarily admit a density with respect to a standard measure.
- We cannot write e.g.

$$\pi(x)P(x,dx') = \pi(x)P(x,x')dxdx'$$

• However  $\pi(dx)q(x, dx')$  can be assumed to be dominated and we write

$$\pi(x)q(x,dx') = \pi(x)q(x,x')dxdx'$$

## Transdimensional moves

• First term is:

$$\int_{x,x'\in A\times B} \pi(x)q(x\to x')\alpha(x\to x')dxdx'$$

- Suppose we propose x' by sampling  $u \sim \varphi$  and then taking (x', u') = G(x, u) deterministically. We write x'(x, u) and u'(x, u).
- The expression becomes

$$\int_{x,x'(x,u)\in A\times B} \pi(x)\varphi(u)\alpha(x\to x'(x,u))dxdu$$

• What is the reverse transition from x' to x? Sample  $u' \sim \varphi'$  and take  $(x, u) = G^{-1}(x', u')$ .

#### Transdimensional moves

Second term was:

$$\int_{x,x'\in A\times B} \pi(x')q(x'\to x)\alpha(x'\to x)dxdx'$$

• It becomes, with  $(x, u) = G^{-1}(x', u')$ :

$$\int_{x(x',u'),x'\in A\times B} \pi(x')\varphi'(u')\alpha(x'\to x(x',u'))dx'du'$$

Let us do a change of variable to get an integral with respect to dxdu instead of dx'du':

$$\int_{\cdot} \pi(x'(x,u))\varphi'(u'(x,u))\alpha(x'(x,u)\to x) \left|\frac{\partial G(x,u)}{\partial(x,u)}\right| dxdu$$

• We see that the integrals are equal if

$$\pi(x)\varphi(u)\alpha(x \to x'(x,u))$$
  
=  $\pi(x'(x,u))\varphi'(u'(x,u))\alpha(x'(x,u) \to x) \left| \frac{\partial G(x,u)}{\partial (x,u)} \right|$ 

• Thus we can see a valid choice of  $\alpha(x \to x')$  in :

$$\alpha(x \to x') = \min\left(1, \frac{\pi(x')\varphi'(u')}{\pi(x)\varphi(u)} \left| \frac{\partial G(x,u)}{\partial(x,u)} \right|\right)$$

We can now answer the initial question:

- How to move from  $\{k\} \times \Theta_k$  to some other  $\{k'\} \times \Theta_{k'}$ ? We start from some  $(k, \theta_k)$ .
- Sample  $k' \sim q(k \to k')$ , then sample  $u_{k \to k'}$  from  $\varphi_{k \to k'}$ .
- Compute deterministically  $(\theta_{k'}, u_{k' \to k}) = G_{k \to k'}(\theta_k, u_{k \to k'}).$
- Compute

$$\alpha_{k \to k'} = \min\left(1, \frac{\pi(\theta_{k'})\varphi_{k' \to k}(u_{k' \to k})}{\pi(\theta_k)\varphi_{k \to k'}(u_{k \to k'})} \frac{q(k' \to k)}{q(k \to k')} J_{k \to k'}(\theta_k, u_{k \to k'})\right)$$

where

$$J_{k \to k'}(\theta_k, u_{k \to k'}) = \left| \frac{\partial G_{k \to k'}(\theta_k, u_{k \to k'})}{\partial (\theta_k, u_{k \to k'})} \right|.$$

## Reversible Jump algorithm

• Starting with  $(k^{(0)}, \theta^{(0)})$  iterate for t = 1, 2, 3, ...

- With probability  $\beta$ , set  $k^{(t)} = k^{(t-1)}$  and do one step of  $K_{k^{(t)}}$  leaving  $\pi(\theta_{k^{(t)}} | y, \mathcal{M}_{k^{(t)}})$  invariant.
- With probability  $1 \beta$ , propose  $k' \sim q(k' \mid k^{(t-1)})$ .
  - Draw a random variable  $u_{k^{(t-1)} \to k'} \sim \varphi_{k^{(t-1)} \to k'}$ .
  - Apply the deterministic mapping  $G_{k^{(t-1)} \to k'}$  to get  $\theta', u'$ .
  - With "between-models" acceptance probability  $a(\theta^{(t-1)} \rightarrow \theta')$ : accept, i.e. set  $\theta^{(t)} = \theta', k^{(t)} = k'$ , otherwise reject, i.e. set  $\theta^{(t)} = \theta^{(t-1)}, k^{(t)} = k^{(t-1)}$ .

• Two models, uniform prior on models  $p(\mathcal{M}_1) = p(\mathcal{M}_2) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

• In model  $\mathcal{M}_1, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and we can evaluate pointwise

posterior<sub>1</sub>(
$$\theta$$
)  $\propto p(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_1)\mathcal{L}(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_1) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta)^2\right)$ 

• In model  $\mathcal{M}_2, \theta \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and we can evaluate pointwise

posterior<sub>2</sub>(
$$\theta$$
)  $\propto p(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_2)\mathcal{L}(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_2) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_2)^2\right)$ 

■ In terms of model comparison, we should find

$$\frac{p(\mathcal{M}_2 \mid y)}{p(\mathcal{M}_1 \mid y)} = \frac{p(y \mid \mathcal{M}_2)p(\mathcal{M}_2)}{p(y \mid \mathcal{M}_1)p(\mathcal{M}_1)}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} p(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_2)\mathcal{L}(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_2)d\theta}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_1)\mathcal{L}(\theta \mid \mathcal{M}_1)d\theta} \times \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$= \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$
$$= \sqrt{2\pi} \approx 2.5066$$

• In terms of parameters, in model  $\mathcal{M}_1$ ,  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  and in model  $\mathcal{M}_2$ ,  $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ .

We need to construct various Markov kernels.

• A Markov kernel "within  $\mathcal{M}_k$ " for each model  $\mathcal{M}_k$ .

**Toy example**: introduce a Metropolis Hastings with random walk proposal, of variance  $\sigma^2$  for model  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\Sigma$  for model  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .

• A Markov kernel to move between models, i.e. for each pair k, proposing k' and proposing to move parameters of  $\mathcal{M}_k$  to parameters of  $\mathcal{M}_{k'}$ .

**Toy example**: introduce  $K_{12}$  moving a parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  to a parameter  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , and introduce  $K_{21}$  moving a parameter  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  to a parameter  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $K_{12}$  do the following.

- Sample u from  $\mathcal{C}(0, 1)$ , a standard Cauchy (dimension matching).
- Map deterministically  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = G_{1 \to 2}(\theta, u) = (\theta, u)$ , with Jacobian equal to 1.

Compute

$$\alpha_{1\to 2} = \min\left(1, \frac{\exp(-0.5\theta^2 - 0.5u^2)}{\exp(-0.5\theta^2)\mathcal{C}(u; 0, 1)}\right)$$

Indeed the Jacobian is equal to 1, the priors on  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are identical, and  $q(k' \mid k) = q(k \mid k')$ .

• Accept 
$$\theta_1, \theta_2$$
 or stay at  $\theta$ .

For  $K_{21}$  do the following.

• Map deterministically  $(\theta, u) = G_{2 \to 1}(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ , with Jacobian equal to 1.

Compute

$$\alpha_{2\to 1} = \min\left(1, \frac{\exp(-0.5\theta_1^2)\mathcal{C}(\theta_2; 0, 1)}{\exp(-0.5\theta_1^2 - 0.5\theta_2^2)}\right)$$

Indeed the Jacobian is equal to 1, the priors on  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are identical, and  $q(k' \mid k) = q(k \mid k')$ .

• Accept  $\theta$  or stay at  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ .

- Introduce a probability of performing a "between-model" move at each step, say  $\beta \in [0, 1]$ .
- Given the current state of the chain  $k_t, \theta_t$  at time t:

- with probability  $\beta$ , between-model move: draw  $(k_{t+1}, \theta_{t+1})$  by drawing  $k' \sim q(k' \mid k)$ , dimension matching, deterministic mapping, RJ acceptance ratio...

- with probability  $1 - \beta$ , within-model move: standard Metropolis-Hastings in the current model.



Figure: Parameter  $\theta$  in model  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .



Figure: Parameter  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  in model  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .



Figure: Model index k along iterations. Probability of accepting model jumps:  $\approx 43.6\%$ . The number of visits in  $\mathcal{M}_2$  divided by the number of visits in  $\mathcal{M}_1$  equals  $\approx 2.39$ , approximating the Bayes factor of  $\approx 2.51$ .

If instead of  $\mathcal{C}(0,1)$  we use  $\mathcal{N}(3,1)$  for the dimension matching variable.



Figure: Model index k along iterations. Probability of accepting model jumps:  $\approx 12.2\%$ . Bayes factor approximated by  $\approx 2.21$ .

If instead of  $\mathcal{C}(0,1)$  we use  $\mathcal{N}(5,1)$  for the dimension matching variable.



Figure: Model index k along iterations. Probability of accepting model jumps:  $\approx 1.43\%$ . Bayes factor approximated by  $\approx 2.31$  (not so bad!).

# Reversible Jump algorithm: conclusion

- Probably the most ambitious MCMC algorithm, aiming at parameter estimation and model choice in one run.
- In general it's hard to design auxiliary variables for dimension matching and deterministic mappings such that the acceptance rate of between-model moves is decent.
- Transdimensional samplers constitute an on-going research area, see for instance: Annealed Importance Sampling Reversible Jump MCMC Algorithms, by Karagiannis and Andrieu, 2013.