Some mathematical models from population genetics

۲

1: Some classical models

Alison Etheridge

University of Oxford

Kingman (1982)

Neutral (haploid) population of constant size NWright-Fisher model: new generation determined by multinomial sampling with equal weights

Kingman (1982)

Neutral (haploid) population of constant size NWright-Fisher model: new generation determined by multinomial

sampling with equal weights

 Equivalently offspring choose their parent at random

Kingman (1982)

Neutral (haploid) population of constant size ${\cal N}$

Wright-Fisher model: new generation determined by multinomial sampling with equal weights

- Equivalently offspring choose their parent at random
- Time in units of population size and let $N \rightarrow \infty$

Kingman (1982)

Neutral (haploid) population of constant size ${\cal N}$

Wright-Fisher model: new generation determined by multinomial sampling with equal weights

- Equivalently offspring choose their parent at random
- Time in units of population size and let $N \to \infty$



Coalescence rate $\binom{k}{2}$

Variable population size $N\rho_t$.

Variable population size $N\rho_t$.

Time change Kingman's coalescent.

Variable population size $N\rho_t$.

Time change Kingman's coalescent.

Genetic structure:

Variable population size $N\rho_t$.

Time change Kingman's coalescent.

Genetic structure:

e.g. 2 populations of sizes $N\rho_1$, $N\rho_2$ with migration between. Add mutation step to Wright-Fisher: after reproduction a (small) fixed proportion $\overline{\mu}_i$ of individuals migrates from population *i* to population *j*. $\overline{\mu}_1\rho_1 = \overline{\mu}_2\rho_2$.

•

After reproduction a (small) fixed proportion $\overline{\mu}_i$ of individuals migrates from population *i* to population *j*. $\overline{\mu}_1 \rho_1 = \overline{\mu}_2 \rho_2$.

•

After reproduction a (small) fixed proportion $\overline{\mu}_i$ of individuals migrates from population *i* to population *j*. $\overline{\mu}_1 \rho_1 = \overline{\mu}_2 \rho_2$.

• Time in units of size N, $\overline{\mu}_i = \frac{\mu_i}{N}$ and let $N \to \infty$

After reproduction a (small) fixed proportion $\overline{\mu}_i$ of individuals migrates from population *i* to population *j*. $\overline{\mu}_1 \rho_1 = \overline{\mu}_2 \rho_2$.

• Time in units of size N , $\overline{\mu}_i = \frac{\mu_i}{N}$ and let $N \to \infty$



After reproduction a (small) fixed proportion $\overline{\mu}_i$ of individuals migrates from population *i* to population *j*. $\overline{\mu}_1 \rho_1 = \overline{\mu}_2 \rho_2$.

• Time in units of size $N, \ \overline{\mu}_i = \frac{\mu_i}{N}$ and let $N \to \infty$



The structured coalescent: within populations coalescence at rate $\frac{1}{\rho_i} \binom{n_i}{2}$. Each lineage *migrates* $1 \mapsto 2$ at rate $\mu_2 \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}$ and $2 \mapsto 1$ at rate $\mu_1 \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2}$.

The Moran model

۲

The neutral Wright-Fisher model:

A population of N genes evolves in discrete generations. Generation (k+1) is formed from generation k by choosing N genes at random with replacement.

The Moran model

The neutral Wright-Fisher model:

A population of N genes evolves in discrete generations. Generation (k+1) is formed from generation k by choosing N genes at random with replacement.

The neutral Moran model:

A population of N genes evolves in overlapping generations. At exponential rate $\binom{N}{2}$ a pair of genes is sampled (with replacement) from the population, one dies and the other splits in two.

The Moran model

The neutral Wright-Fisher model:

A population of N genes evolves in discrete generations. Generation (k+1) is formed from generation k by choosing N genes at random with replacement.

The neutral Moran model:

A population of N genes evolves in overlapping generations. At exponential rate $\binom{N}{2}$ a pair of genes is sampled (with replacement) from the population, one dies and the other splits in two.

- In the Moran model each individual has zero or two offspring.
- The Moran model is already in 'diffusion' timescale.

Graphical representation



For each pair of indices (i, j) Poiss(1) process of arrows pointing left or right with equal probability.

Graphical representation

•



Graphical representation



Genealogy given by Kingman's coalescent (independent of N).

The infinite population limit

Suppose that the gene in question has two alleles, a, A. Write p_t for the proportion of a-alleles at time t.

The infinite population limit

Suppose that the gene in question has two alleles, a, A. Write p_t for the proportion of a-alleles at time t.

1

For a 'nice' function f on [0,1], the infinitesimal generator of p is

$$\mathcal{L}f(p) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[f(p_t)|p_0 = p] \Big|_{t=0}$$
$$= \binom{N}{2} p(1-p) \left(f(p + \frac{1}{N}) - f(p) \right)$$
$$+ \binom{N}{2} p(1-p) \left(f(p - \frac{1}{N}) - f(p) \right)$$

The infinite population limit

Suppose that the gene in question has two alleles, a, A. Write p_t for the proportion of a-alleles at time t.

For a 'nice' function f on [0,1], the infinitesimal generator of p is

$$\mathcal{L}f(p) \equiv \frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[f(p_t)|p_0 = p] \Big|_{t=0}$$
$$= \binom{N}{2} p(1-p) \left(f(p + \frac{1}{N}) - f(p) \right)$$
$$+ \binom{N}{2} p(1-p) \left(f(p - \frac{1}{N}) - f(p) \right)$$

To see what happens as $N \to \infty$, perform a Taylor expansion . . .

... a Taylor expansion

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}f(p) &= \binom{N}{2}p(1-p)\left(f(p+\frac{1}{N})-f(p)\right) \\ &+ \binom{N}{2}p(1-p)\left(f(p-\frac{1}{N})-f(p)\right) \\ &= \binom{N}{2}p(1-p)\Big(f(p)+\frac{1}{N}f'(p)+\frac{1}{2N^2}f''(p)+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})-f(p) \\ &+ f(p)-\frac{1}{N}f'(p)+\frac{1}{2N^2}f''(p)+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N^3})-f(p)\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}p(1-p)f''(p)+\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N}). \end{split}$$

The diffusion limit

•

It is reasonable to guess then that for the infinite population limit,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[f(p_t)|p_0 = p]\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}p(1-p)f''(p).$$

The diffusion limit

It is reasonable to guess then that for the infinite population limit,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}[f(p_t)|p_0 = p]\bigg|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}p(1-p)f''(p).$$

$$dp_t = \sqrt{p_t(1-p_t)} dW_t,$$

where W_t is Brownian motion.

Differential reproductive success

Wright-Fisher model:

Generation k + 1 is formed from generation k by multinomial sampling with relative weights $1 + \sigma$ and 1 on type a and A individuals resp.

Differential reproductive success

Wright-Fisher model:

Generation k + 1 is formed from generation k by multinomial sampling with relative weights $1 + \sigma$ and 1 on type a and A individuals resp.

Moran model:

At a resampling event involving individuals of both types, with probability $\frac{1+\sigma}{2}$ it is the type *a* individual that reproduces.

Differential reproductive success

Wright-Fisher model:

Generation k + 1 is formed from generation k by multinomial sampling with relative weights $1 + \sigma$ and 1 on type a and A individuals resp.

Moran model:

At a resampling event involving individuals of both types, with probability $\frac{1+\sigma}{2}$ it is the type *a* individual that reproduces.

Diffusion limit:

For $N\sigma \rightarrow s$, let $N \rightarrow \infty$ (and in WF model measure time in units of size N) to obtain

$$dp_t = sp_t(1-p_t)dt + \sqrt{p_t(1-p_t)}dW_t.$$

•

Population, initial size N, follows GW process, Poisson offspring distribution.

Population, initial size N, follows GW process, Poisson offspring distribution.

Condition size of first generation to be N.

Population, initial size N, follows GW process, Poisson offspring distribution.

Condition size of first generation to be N.

Write Z_i for the number of offspring of the *i*th individual in initial population,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) = (m_1, \dots, m_N) \Big| \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i = N \right] = \frac{N!}{m_1! \cdots m_N!} \frac{1}{N^N}$$

Population, initial size N, follows GW process, Poisson offspring distribution.

Condition size of first generation to be N.

Write Z_i for the number of offspring of the *i*th individual in initial population,

$$\mathbb{P}\left[(Z_1, \dots, Z_N) = (m_1, \dots, m_N) \Big| \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i = N \right] = \frac{N!}{m_1! \cdots m_N!} \frac{1}{N^N}.$$

... just as for the Wright-Fisher model.

Feller's diffusion approximation

Offspring ~ Poiss $\left(1 + \frac{a}{N}\right)$, generation times $\frac{k}{N}$ and $X_t^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N}Z_t^{(N)}$.

Feller's diffusion approximation

Offspring ~ Poiss $\left(1 + \frac{a}{N}\right)$, generation times $\frac{k}{N}$ and $X_t^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N}Z_t^{(N)}$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_x \left[s^{X_t} \right] \Big|_{t=0} \approx N \left\{ \mathbb{E}_x \left[s^{X_{1/N}^{(N)}} \right] - s^x \right\}$$
$$= N \left\{ e^{Nx \left(1 + \frac{a}{N} \right) \left(s^{1/N} - 1 \right)} - s^x \right\}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{2} x \left(\log s \right)^2 s^x + ax \left(\log s \right) s^x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} x \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + ax \frac{df}{dx}.$$

Feller's diffusion approximation

Offspring ~ Poiss $\left(1 + \frac{a}{N}\right)$, generation times $\frac{k}{N}$ and $X_t^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N}Z_t^{(N)}$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}_x \left[s^{X_t} \right] \Big|_{t=0} \approx N \left\{ \mathbb{E}_x \left[s^{X_{1/N}^{(N)}} \right] - s^x \right\}$$
$$= N \left\{ e^{Nx \left(1 + \frac{a}{N} \right) \left(s^{1/N} - 1 \right)} - s^x \right\}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{2} x \left(\log s \right)^2 s^x + ax \left(\log s \right) s^x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} x \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + ax \frac{df}{dx}.$$

$$dX_t = aX_t dt + \sqrt{X_t} dB_t$$

Population (with two alleles) subdivided into *demes*, labelled by $i \in I$.

Population (with two alleles) subdivided into *demes*, labelled by $i \in I$.

$$dX_{i}(t) = a_{1}X_{i}(t)dt + \sqrt{\sigma X_{i}(t)}dB_{i}(t) + \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(X_{j}(t) - X_{i}(t)\right)dt,$$

Population (with two alleles) subdivided into *demes*, labelled by $i \in I$.

$$dX_{i}(t) = a_{1}X_{i}(t)dt + \sqrt{\sigma X_{i}(t)}dB_{i}(t) + \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(X_{j}(t) - X_{i}(t)\right)dt,$$

 $m_{ji} = m_{ij}$

Population (with two alleles) subdivided into *demes*, labelled by $i \in I$.

$$dX_{i}(t) = a_{1}X_{i}(t)dt + \sqrt{\sigma X_{i}(t)}dB_{i}(t) + \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(X_{j}(t) - X_{i}(t)\right)dt,$$

 $m_{ji} = m_{ij}$

$$dY_{i}(t) = a_{2}Y_{i}(t)dt + \sqrt{\sigma Y_{i}(t)}d\tilde{B}_{i}(t) + \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(Y_{j}(t) - Y_{i}(t)\right)dt.$$

Population (with two alleles) subdivided into *demes*, labelled by $i \in I$.

$$dX_{i}(t) = a_{1}X_{i}(t)dt + \sqrt{\sigma X_{i}(t)}dB_{i}(t) + \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(X_{j}(t) - X_{i}(t)\right)dt,$$

 $m_{ji} = m_{ij}$

$$dY_{i}(t) = a_{2}Y_{i}(t)dt + \sqrt{\sigma Y_{i}(t)}d\tilde{B}_{i}(t) + \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(Y_{j}(t) - Y_{i}(t)\right)dt.$$

 $N_i(t) = X_i(t) + Y_i(t),$

$$p_i(t) = \frac{X_i(t)}{X_i(t) + Y_i(t)}.$$

The proportion of type *a*

۲

$$dp_i(t) = (a_1 - a_2) p_i(t) (1 - p_i(t)) dt + \sum_j \frac{N_j}{N_i} m_{ij} (p_j(t) - p_i(t)) dt + \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{N_i} p_i(t) (1 - p_i(t))} dW_i(t).$$

New York, Sept. 07 – p. 1

The proportion of type *a*

•

$$dp_i(t) = (a_1 - a_2) p_i(t) (1 - p_i(t)) dt + \sum_j \frac{N_j}{N_i} m_{ij} (p_j(t) - p_i(t)) dt + \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{N_i} p_i(t) (1 - p_i(t))} dW_i(t).$$

Condition on $N_i \equiv \text{constant}$, to arrive at

The proportion of type *a*

•

$$dp_i(t) = (a_1 - a_2) p_i(t) (1 - p_i(t)) dt + \sum_j \frac{N_j}{N_i} m_{ij} (p_j(t) - p_i(t)) dt + \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{N_i} p_i(t) (1 - p_i(t))} dW_i(t).$$

Condition on $N_i \equiv \text{constant}$, to arrive at

The stepping stone model

$$dp_{i}(t) = sp_{i}(t) (1 - p_{i}(t)) dt + \sum_{j} m_{ij} (p_{j}(t) - p_{i}(t)) dt$$
$$s = (a_{1} - a_{2}), \quad \gamma = \frac{\sigma}{N} + \sqrt{\gamma p_{i}(t) (1 - p_{i}(t))} dW_{i}(t).$$

New York, Sept. 07 – p.

•

Aim: Express distribution of \underline{p} in terms of another (simpler) random variable \underline{n} .

•

Aim: Express distribution of \underline{p} in terms of another (simpler) random variable \underline{n} .

$$\frac{d}{du} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\underline{p}(u), \underline{n}(t-u)\right)\right] = 0, \quad 0 \le u \le t. \quad (*)$$

•

Aim: Express distribution of \underline{p} in terms of another (simpler) random variable \underline{n} .

$$\frac{d}{du} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\underline{p}(u), \underline{n}(t-u)\right)\right] = 0, \quad 0 \le u \le t. \quad (*)$$

$$n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \underline{n} = (n_i)_{i \in I}, \underline{e}_j = (\delta_{ij})_{i \in I}, \underline{p}^{\underline{n}} = \prod_i p_i^{n_i}.$$

Aim: Express distribution of \underline{p} in terms of another (simpler) random variable \underline{n} .

$$\frac{d}{du} \mathbb{E}\left[f\left(\underline{p}(u), \underline{n}(t-u)\right)\right] = 0, \quad 0 \le u \le t. \quad (*)$$

$$n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \underline{n} = (n_i)_{i \in I}, \underline{e}_j = (\delta_{ij})_{i \in I}, \underline{p}^{\underline{n}} = \prod_i p_i^{n_i}.$$

Strategy: Calculate $d(\underline{p^n})$ for \underline{n} fixed. Choose the process \underline{n} in such a way that equation (*) is satisfied.

Itô's formula gives

•

$$d\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}}\right) = \sum_{i} n_{i} \underline{p^{\underline{n}-\underline{e}_{i}}} \left[sp_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right) + \sum_{j} m_{ij}\left(p_{j}-p_{i}\right) \right] dt + \sum_{i} \gamma \frac{1}{2} n_{i}\left(n_{i}-1\right) \underline{p^{\underline{n}-2\underline{e}_{i}}} p_{i}\left(1-p_{i}\right) dt + \sum_{i} \left(\dots\right) dB_{i}$$

New York, Sept. 07 – p.

•

$$d\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}}\right) = \sum_{i} n_{i} s\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}} - \underline{p^{\underline{n}}}^{\underline{n}} + \underline{e_{i}}\right) dt$$

$$+\sum_{i} n_{i} \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{j}-\underline{e}_{i}}-\underline{p}^{\underline{n}}\right) dt$$

$$+\sum_{i} \gamma \frac{1}{2} n_i \left(n_i - 1 \right) \left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n} - \underline{e}_i} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n}} \right) dt$$

 $+\sum_{i}\left(\ldots\right)dB_{i}.$

.

New York, Sept. 07 – p. 1

•

$$d\left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n}}\right) = \sum_{i} n_{i} s\left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n}} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{i}}\right) dt \qquad (s \le 0)$$

$$+\sum_{i}n_{i}\sum_{j}m_{ij}\left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n}+\underline{e}_{j}-\underline{e}_{i}}-\underline{p}^{\underline{n}}\right)dt$$

$$+\sum_{i} \gamma \frac{1}{2} n_i \left(n_i - 1 \right) \left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n} - \underline{e}_i} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n}} \right) dt$$

 $+\sum_{i}\left(\ldots\right)dB_{i}.$

.

New York, Sept. 07 – p. 1

•

$$d\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}}\right) = \sum_{i} n_{i} s\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{i}}\right) dt \qquad (s \leq 0)$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} \mapsto \underline{\underline{n}} + \underline{\underline{e}}_{i} \text{ at rate } -\underline{n_{i}} s$$

$$+ \sum_{i} n_{i} \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(\underline{p^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{j} - \underline{e}_{i}} - \underline{p^{\underline{n}}}\right) dt$$

$$1$$

$$+\sum_{i} \gamma \frac{1}{2} n_i \left(n_i - 1 \right) \left(\underline{p}^{\underline{n} - \underline{e}_i} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n}} \right) dt$$

 $+\sum_{i}\left(\ldots\right)dB_{i}.$

.

•

$$d(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}}) = \sum_{i} n_{i} s\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{i}}\right) dt \qquad (s \leq 0)$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} \mapsto \underline{\underline{n}} + \underline{\underline{e}}_{i} \text{ at rate } -\underline{n_{i}} s$$

$$+ \sum_{i} n_{i} \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(\underline{p^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{j} - \underline{e}_{i}} - \underline{p^{\underline{n}}}\right) dt$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} \mapsto \underline{\underline{n}} + \underline{\underline{e}}_{j} - \underline{\underline{e}}_{i} \text{ at rate } n_{i} m_{ij}$$

$$+ \sum_{i} \gamma \frac{1}{2} n_{i} (n_{i} - 1) \left(\underline{p^{\underline{n} - \underline{e}_{i}} - \underline{p^{\underline{n}}}\right) dt$$

 $+\sum_{i}\left(\ldots\right)dB_{i}.$

.

New York, Sept. 07 – p. 1

•

$$d(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}}) = \sum_{i} n_{i}s\left(\underline{p^{\underline{n}}} - \underline{p}^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{i}}\right) dt \qquad (s \leq 0)$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} \mapsto \underline{\underline{n}} + \underline{\underline{e}}_{i} \text{ at rate } -\underline{n_{i}s}$$

$$+ \sum_{i} n_{i} \sum_{j} m_{ij} \left(\underline{\underline{p}^{\underline{n} + \underline{e}_{j} - \underline{e}_{i}} - \underline{\underline{p}^{\underline{n}}}\right) dt$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} \mapsto \underline{\underline{n}} + \underline{\underline{e}}_{j} - \underline{\underline{e}}_{i} \text{ at rate } n_{i}m_{ij}$$

$$+ \sum_{i} \gamma \frac{1}{2} n_{i} (n_{i} - 1) \left(\underline{\underline{p}^{\underline{n} - \underline{e}_{i}} - \underline{\underline{p}^{\underline{n}}}\right) dt$$

$$\underline{\underline{n}} \mapsto \underline{\underline{n}} - \underline{\underline{e}}_{i} \text{ at rate } \frac{\gamma}{2} n_{i} (n_{i} - 1)$$

$$+ \sum_{i} (\dots) dB_{i}.$$

New York, Sept. 07 – p.

.

.

The 'coalescent' dual

The dual process \underline{n} evolves as follows:

•
$$n_i \mapsto n_i + 1$$
 at rate $-sn_i$
• $\begin{cases} n_i \mapsto n_i - 1 \\ n_j \mapsto n_j + 1 \end{cases}$ at rate $n_i m_{ij}$
• $n_i \mapsto n_i - 1$ at rate $\frac{1}{2}\gamma n_i (n_i - 1)$
 $\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_t^{\underline{n}_0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_0^{\underline{n}_t}\right].$

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{t}^{\underline{n}_{0}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{0}^{\underline{n}_{t}}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $\underline{p}_t \to \underline{0}.$

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\overline{t}}^{\underline{n}_0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\overline{0}}^{\underline{n}_t}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \underline{0}$. The whole population is type A.

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{t}^{\underline{n}_{0}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{0}^{\underline{n}_{t}}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \underline{0}$. The whole population is type A.

• *s* = 0

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\underline{t}}^{\underline{n}_0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}_t}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $p_t \rightarrow \underline{0}$. The whole population is type A.

• s = 0 In $d \le 2$, $\underline{n} \rightarrow$ 'single random walker'.

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\overline{t}}^{\underline{n}_0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\overline{0}}^{\underline{n}_t}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \underline{0}$. The whole population is type A.

• s = 0 In $d \le 2$, $\underline{n} \rightarrow$ 'single random walker'.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{t}^{\underline{n}_{0}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{0}^{\underline{n}_{t}}\right] \to \overline{p} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

•

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\overline{t}}^{\underline{n}_0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\overline{0}}^{\underline{n}_t}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \underline{0}$. The whole population is type A.

• s = 0 In $d \le 2$, $\underline{n} \rightarrow$ 'single random walker'.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{t}^{\underline{n}_{0}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{0}^{\underline{n}_{t}}\right] \to \overline{p} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

$$\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \begin{cases} \underline{1} & \text{probability } \overline{p} \\ \underline{0} & \text{probability } 1 - \overline{p}. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $I = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and m_{ij} simple random walk.

• s < 0 (selective advantage for A)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\underline{t}}^{\underline{n}_0}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{\underline{0}}^{\underline{n}_t}\right] \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

 $\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \underline{0}$. The whole population is type A.

• s = 0 In $d \le 2$, $\underline{n} \rightarrow$ 'single random walker'.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{t}^{\underline{n}_{0}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{p}_{0}^{\underline{n}_{t}}\right] \to \overline{p} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

$$\underline{p}_t \rightarrow \begin{cases} \underline{1} & \text{probability } \overline{p} \\ \underline{0} & \text{probability } 1 - \overline{p}. \end{cases}$$

Neutral evolution.